

LAW ENFORCEMENT-BASED VICTIM SERVICES IN NEW MEXICO: PRIVACY, PRIVILEGE AND CONFIDENTIALITY

INTRODUCTION

Best practice in victim services is about facilitating victims' ability to exercise meaningful choices. This requires understanding and supporting the exercise of victims' rights, which are found in state constitutions, statutes, rules and policies. For victims' rights to be meaningful, both compliance with and enforcement of these rights is necessary. Compliance is the fulfillment of legal responsibilities to victims and making efforts to reduce willful, negligent or inadvertent failures to fulfill those legal responsibilities; enforcement is the pursuit, by a victim or someone on behalf of a victim, of a judicial or administrative order that either mandates compliance with victims' rights or provides remedies for violations of victims' rights laws.

In addition to understanding victims' rights, best practices in victim services require understanding one's legal and ethical obligations as an advocate with regard to victim privacy, confidentiality and privilege, and the scope of one's services. Informing victims—at the first or earliest possible contact with them—of their rights and the advocate's role, including limitations on that role, is critical to victims' ability to make informed decisions about whether and how to exercise their rights, as well as whether, what and how much to share with any particular service provider. In addition, advocates need to build and maintain relationships throughout the community in order to provide meaningful referrals to victim service providers with complementary roles when a victim needs the referral.

USING THIS RESOURCE

This resource is designed to enhance victim services personnel's knowledge and understanding of the law governing crime victims' rights to privacy, confidentiality and privilege in New Mexico. It provides an overview of key concepts and excerpts of key legal citations that can help facilitate victims' meaningful choices regarding these rights. To keep this *Guide* as user-friendly as possible in light of the breadth, complexity and evolving nature of law, the *Guide* does not include all laws. It does not constitute legal advice, nor does it substitute for legal advice. This resource is best used together with its companion resource: *Select Victims' Rights – New Mexico*.

This resource was developed by the National Crime Victim Law Institute (NCVLI) under 2018-V3-GX-K049, 2020-V3-GX-K001 and 15OVC-22-GK-01805-NONF, awarded to the International Association of Chiefs of Police (IACP) by the Office for Victims of Crime, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice. The opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this resource are those of the contributors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the U.S. Department of Justice.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction
Using This Resource1
Overview
System-Based and Community-Based Advocates
Privacy, Confidentiality and Privilege4
HIPAA, FERPA, VOCA, VAWA and FOIA8
Ethical Code Relevant to Advocates
Brady v. Maryland13
Giglio v. United States16
Subpoena Considerations17
Select Laws
Privacy18
Confidentiality19
Privilege
Definitions

OVERVIEW

What are the key similarities and differences between system-based and communitybased advocates?

Key Takeaways

- System-based advocates are typically employed by a law enforcement agency, prosecutor's office, corrections, or another governmental agency.
- Community-based advocates are typically employed by a nonprofit/non-governmental agency.
- The United States Supreme Court and state laws impose on the prosecutor's office and by extension on other governmental agencies such as law enforcement—legal obligations to disclose information to the accused and their lawyer. These obligations are sometimes called *Brady* Obligations or Discovery Obligations.
- *Brady*/Discovery Obligations generally attach to system-based advocates, and these obligations can override an advocate's ability to keep something confidential. That means anything shared with a system-based advocate may have to be disclosed to law enforcement, prosecutors, and eventually the accused and their lawyer.
- Community-based advocates are generally not directly linked to a government actor, and therefore not subject to *Brady*/Discovery Obligations; this means that they can hold more things confidential, and depending on local law, may also be bound by privilege (which is an even stronger privacy protection than confidentiality).

Discussion

It is imperative that an advocate understands and communicates clearly—at the first encounter or earliest possible contact—whether one is a community-based or system-based advocate, the advocate's legal and ethical obligations with regard to privacy, confidentiality and privilege and the scope of the services that the advocate offers.¹ This information will assist the victim in understanding the role of the advocate and any limitations of that role regarding: (1) the services that the advocate can provide and (2) the privacy protections that exist regarding information shared with the advocate. Further, providing a clear explanation of the advocate's role to the victim will help the victim make informed decisions, build rapport and avoid misunderstandings.

While both system-based and community-based advocates serve victims and operate under a general ethical rule of confidentiality, there are significant differences between them. System-based advocates are typically employed by a law enforcement agency, office of the prosecuting attorney, corrections or another entity within the city, county, state or federal government. Titles for system-based advocates vary; for example, they can be called victim advocates, victim-witness coordinators or victim assistance personnel.² Because systembased advocates are typically a component of a government agency or program, a primary focus of their work is assisting victims in their interactions with the system, and they will typically be able to provide services to the victims during the pendency of the investigation, prosecution and post-conviction legal aspects of a case. In addition, this placement as part of a government agency or program generally means that system-based advocates are subject to the *Brady* disclosure obligations (*see Brady v. Maryland* Section below for additional information) and generally, their communications with victims are not protected by privilege. For information about the legal, ethical and professional obligations of law enforcement-associated victim service providers related to their status as licensed social workers or licensed clinical social workers, *see* Nat'l Crime Victim Law Inst., *Considerations When Analyzing the Legal, Ethical and Professional Obligations of Law Enforcement-Employed Victim Advocates with Social Work/Clinical Social Work Licenses*, 2023, https://ncvli.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/Considerations-Regarding-the-Obligations-Regarding-the-Dobligations-Regarding-the-Licenses-2022.pdf.

By contrast, community-based advocates are generally not directly linked to any government actor or agency. As such, they are not subject to *Brady*; generally, can assist victims even if a crime has not been reported; can assist before, during and after a criminal case; can provide holistic services aimed at victims' broad needs; and, depending on the jurisdiction's laws and funding source, can maintain privileged communications with victims.³

Because each type of advocate has different duties and protections that they can offer victims, knowledge of and partnerships between them is an integral part of facilitating meaningful victim choice and helping victims access holistic services.

What are privacy, confidentiality and privilege? Why do the differences matter?

Key Takeaways

- Privacy is the broad right that allows one to control the sharing of personal information.
- Many jurisdictions have state constitutional and statutory protections for affording victims the right to privacy, including explicit rights to privacy and the broader stated rights to be treated with fairness, dignity and respect. A federal Constitutional right to privacy also exists.
- Confidentiality is a form of privacy protection; it is the legal and ethical duty to keep private the victim-client's information that was learned in confidence. The duty of confidentiality is found in laws and regulations that govern particular professions (e.g., community-based advocates and licensed mental health professionals) as well as certain types of information (e.g., health and educational records). In addition, certain funding sources (such as VOCA and VAWA) contain confidentiality requirements that govern anyone receiving the funds.
- Courts have the authority to require disclosure of a victim's confidential information when certain conditions are met. Circumstances that may compel disclosure of

victims' otherwise confidential information include if the information is shared with a mandatory reporter and in the case of system-based advocates, if the information falls within the state's required disclosures to defendant pursuant to *Brady*/Discovery Obligations.

- Privilege is another privacy protection and is stronger than confidentiality. Privileges are defined by statute and rule and protect communications between victims and certain people, such as doctors, psychotherapists/counselors, attorneys and in some jurisdictions, victim advocates. Key terms in the law may be defined in a way to limit the privilege. For example, among those jurisdictions that recognize an advocate-victim privilege, the term "advocate" is often narrow (e.g., only sexual assault advocates). Disclosure of privileged communications is prohibited unless the victim consents.
- Because privacy is so critical to victims it is important to understand what level of privacy protection can be afforded to a victim with whom one works and to communicate that BEFORE the victim shares any information.

Discussion

Privacy

"Privacy" is a fundamental right, essential to victim agency, autonomy and dignity, which—among other things—permits boundaries that limit who has access to our communications and information.

Privacy can be understood as the ability to control the sharing of personal information. See Commonwealth ex rel. Platt v. Platt, 404 A.2d 410, 429 (Pa. Super. Ct. 1979) ("The essence of privacy is no more, and certainly no less, than the freedom of the individual to pick and choose for [themselves] the time and circumstances under which, and most importantly, the extent to which, his attitudes, beliefs, and behavior and opinions are to be shared with or withheld from others."). For many crime victims, maintaining privacy in their personal information and communications is vitally important. In fact, maintaining privacy is so important that some victims refrain from accessing critical legal, medical or counseling services without an assurance that treatment professionals will protect their personal information from disclosure. Understanding this and wishing as a matter of public policy to encourage access to services when needed, federal and state legislatures and professional licensing bodies have created frameworks of laws and regulations that help protect the information victims share with professionals from further dissemination. To this end, every jurisdiction has adopted statutory or constitutional victims' rights; some jurisdictions explicitly protect victims' rights to privacy, or to be treated with dignity, respect or fairness.⁴ Victims also have a federal Constitutional right to privacy.⁵

In addition to the broad rights to privacy that exist, privacy protections generally come in two forms: "confidentiality" and "privilege." Professionals who work with victims should understand each concept.

Confidentiality

"Confidentiality" is a legal and ethical duty not to disclose the victim-client's information learned in confidence.

As part of accessing services, victims frequently share highly sensitive personal information with professionals. A victim's willingness to share this information may be premised on the professionals' promise to not disclose it. The promise to hold in confidence the victim's information is governed by the professional's ethical duties, regulatory framework and/or by other various laws. Breaking the promise may carry sanctions. The promise not to disclose information that is shared in confidence—as well as the legal framework that recognizes this promise—are what qualifies this information as "confidential."

Key aspects of confidential communications are that: (1) they are made with the expectation of privacy; (2) they are not accessible to the general public; (3) there may or may not be legal requirements that the recipient keep the information private; and (4) there may be a professional/ethical obligation to keep the information private.

Professional confidentiality obligations may be imposed by one's profession, e.g., advocate ethics; social worker ethics; attorney ethics; medical provider ethics; and mental health counselor ethics. In addition, certain laws may have confidentiality provisions that are tied to funding. If an entity receives such funds, then it is bound by confidentiality or risks losing funding. Examples of laws that impose confidentiality requirements include the: (1) Victims of Crime Act (VOCA), 28 C.F.R. § 94.115; (2) Violence Against Women Act (VAWA), 34 U.S.C. § 12291(b)(2)(A)-(B); and (3) Family Violence Prevention and Services Act (FVPSA), 42 U.S.C. § 10406 (c)(5)(B). For example, VAWA (Section 3), VOCA and FVPSA regulations prohibit sharing personally identifying information about victims without informed, written and reasonably time-limited consent. VAWA and VOCA also prohibit disclosure of individual information without written consent. In addition, depending on the types of victim information at issue, other statutes may impose additional restrictions, including the Federal Educational Rights & Privacy Act (FERPA), 20 U.S.C. § 1232g (protections governing the handling of education records); the Health Insurance Portability & Accountability Act (HIPAA), 42 U.S.C. § 1320d et seq. (protections governing the handling of health records); and the Stored Communications Act (SCA), 18 U.S.C. § 2701 et seq. (protections governing electronic communications and transactions records).

When providing services, professionals should discuss with victims the consequences of sharing information before information is shared. These consequences may include the: (1) inability to "take back" a disclosure; (2) lack of control over the information once released; and (3) risk of the accused accessing the information. In addition, even when laws appear to prohibit disclosure, there are often exceptions that require disclosure, for instance in response to court orders or valid subpoenas. These limits should be explained to a victim. For example, a court may make a determination that an accused's interests outweigh the confidentiality protection afforded by a law and order the professional to disclose the

victim's private information. Although a victim can be assured that a professional may not ethically disclose her confidential information unless legally required to do so, it is important that a victim understand that courts have the authority to require a professional to break the promise of confidentiality when certain conditions are met. Other circumstances that may compel disclosure of victims' otherwise confidential information include if the information is shared with a mandatory reporter of elder or child abuse and if the information falls within the state's required disclosures to defendant pursuant to the United States Supreme Court case *Brady v. Maryland*.

Thus, although the basic rule of confidentiality is that a victim's information is not shared outside an agency unless the victim gives permission to do so, it is important to inform victims before they share information whether, when and under what circumstances information may be further disclosed.

Privilege

"Privilege" is a legal right of the victim not to disclose—or to prevent the disclosure of certain information in connection with court and other proceedings.

Legislatures throughout the country have recognized that the effective practice of some professions requires even stronger legal protection of confidential communications between the professional and client. This recognition has resulted in the passage of laws that prevent courts from forcing these professionals to break the promise of confidentiality no matter how relevant the information is to the issues in the legal proceeding. This additional protection is a "privilege"—a legal right not to disclose certain information, even in the face of a valid subpoena.⁶ Key aspects of privileged communications are that: (1) they are specially protected, often by statute; (2) disclosure without permission of the privilege holder (*i.e.*, the victim) is prohibited; (3) they are protected from disclosure in court or other proceedings; (4) the protections may be waived only by the holder of the privilege (*i.e.*, the victim); and (5) some exceptions may apply. Examples of communications that may be protected by privilege depending on jurisdiction include: (1) spousal; (2) attorney-client; (3) clergy-penitent; (4) psychotherapist/counselor-patient; (5) doctor-patient; and (6) advocate-victim. Jurisdictions that recognize a given privilege may narrowly define terms, thereby limiting its applications. For example, among the jurisdictions that recognize an advocate-victim privilege, many define the term "advocate" to exclude those who are system-based (*i.e.*, affiliated with a law-enforcement agency or a prosecutor's office).⁷

Understanding the Differences

Because maintaining a victim's control over whether and how to disclose personal information is so important and because community-based and system-based advocates can offer different levels of protection regarding communications, every professional must know whether their communications with a victim are confidential or privileged, as well as how courts have interpreted the scope of each protection. This information should be shared with victims in advance of information disclosure. To do otherwise may provide victim-

clients with a false sense of security regarding their privacy and inflict further harm if their personal information is unexpectedly disclosed.

What are HIPAA, FERPA, VOCA, VAWA and FOIA, and why are these relevant to my work as an advocate?⁸

Key Takeaways

- Federal and many state laws protect certain types of information from disclosure. These laws generally cover medical, therapy and other behavioral health records, educational records and certain advocacy records.
- HIPAA—the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act—requires the protection and confidential handling of protected health information (PHI). This is important because although it permits release of PHI in response to a valid court order, no such release may be made in response to a subpoena or other request except under very specific circumstances.
- FERPA—the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act—protects the privacy of student education records, as well as any personally identifiable information in those records. Although the Department of Education provides that law enforcement records are not education records, personally identifiable information collected from education records and shared with law enforcement remain protected from disclosure.
- Victim assistance programs that receive funding under either VOCA (the Victims of Crime Act of 1984) or VAWA (the Violence Against Women Act) are mandated to protect crime victims' confidentiality and privacy subject to limited exceptions, such as mandatory reporting or statutory or court mandates. Even if disclosure of individual client information is required by statute or court order, recipients of VOCA or VAWA funding must provide notice to victims affected by any required disclosure of their information, and take steps to protect the privacy and safety of the victims.
- Open records' laws—also commonly referred to as public records' laws or sunshine laws—permit any person to request government documents and, if the government refuses to turn them over, to file a lawsuit to compel disclosure. Every state and the federal government have such laws (the federal law is known as FOIA, the Freedom of Information Act), which carry a presumption of disclosure. That means that all government records are presumed open for public inspection unless an exemption applies. Many exemptions from disclosure exist, including for some types of law enforcement records. All advocates should understand their jurisdiction's open records' laws, especially as they relate to exemptions that may apply to law enforcement and other victim-related records.

Discussion

HIPAA: Federal law—as well as state law in many jurisdictions—provides crime victims

with different forms of protections from disclosure of their personal and confidential information. This includes protections against the disclosure of medical and/or therapy and other behavioral health records without the victim's consent. HIPAA—codified at 42 U.S.C. § 1320d et seq. and 45 C.F.R. § 164.500 et seq.—is the acronym for the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act, a federal law passed in 1996. HIPAA does a variety of things, but most relevantly, it requires the protection and confidential handling of protected health information (PHI). This is important because although it permits release of PHI in response to a valid court order, no such release may be made in response to a subpoena or other request unless one of the following circumstances is met:

- 1. The entity must receive "satisfactory assurance" from "the party seeking the information that reasonable efforts have been made by such party to ensure that the individual who is the subject of the protected health information that has been requested has been given notice of the request[,]" 45 C.F.R. § 164.512(e)(1)(ii)(A). -or-
- 2. The entity must receive "satisfactory assurance" from the "party seeking the information that reasonable efforts have been made by such party to secure a qualified protective order" that meets certain requirements, detailed in subsection (iv), 45 C.F.R. § 164.512(e)(1)(ii)(B).

Advocates may wish to inform victims that they may proactively contact their medical providers, informing them that the victims are asserting privilege and other legal protections in their records, and requesting that these providers: (1) give them prompt notice of any request for the victims' medical records; (2) refuse to disclose the records pursuant to any such request without first receiving a valid court order; and (3) ensure that no medical records are released without first permitting the victims to file a challenge to their release. Advocates who work for or with community-based organizations—including organizations that provide general mental health services as well as those that serve domestic violence or sexual assault victims—should advise victims about the possibility of asserting HIPAA protections if facing a request for their records.

<u>FERPA</u>: The Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA)—codified at 20 U.S.C. § 1232g—"is a federal law that protects the privacy of student education records, and the [personally identifiable information] contained therein, maintained by educational agencies or institutions or by a party acting for the agencies or institutions."⁹ FERPA applies to those agencies and institutions that receive funding under any U.S. Department of Education program.¹⁰ "Private schools at the elementary and secondary levels generally do not receive funds from the Department [of Education] and are, therefore, not subject to FERPA, but may be subject to other data privacy laws such as HIPAA."¹¹

Protections afforded by FERPA include the right of parents or eligible students to provide a signed and dated, written consent that clearly identifies which education records or personally identifiable information may be disclosed by the educational agency or institution; the person who may receive such records or information; and the purpose for the disclosure prior to disclosure of an education record or personally identifiable information, except in limited circumstances such as health or safety emergencies.¹²

Notably, while the Department of Education provides that law enforcement records are not education records, "personally identifiable information [collected] from education records, which the school shares with the law enforcement unit, do not lose their protected status as education records just because they are shared with the law enforcement unit."¹³ Thus, law enforcement has a duty to understand and comply with FERPA when drafting police reports, supplemental reports and, generally, sharing or relaying information.

It is important that advocates have an understanding of FERPA as well as other federal laws, state laws and local policies that address student privacy in education records as eligible students or parents may be afforded privacy protections in addition to FERPA. For example, "the education records of students who are children with disabilities are not only protected by FERPA but also by the confidentiality of information provisions in the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)."¹⁴

<u>VOCA and VAWA</u>: The Victims of Crime Act of 1984 (VOCA)—codified at 34 U.S.C. §§ 20101 to 20111—established the Crime Victims Fund (the Fund), which is managed by the Office for Victims of Crime, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice. The Fund is financed by, *inter alia*, fines and penalties from persons convicted of crimes against the United States as opposed to by tax dollars.¹⁵ The Fund supports victim assistance programs that offer direct victim services and crime victim compensation.¹⁶ Examples of direct services are crisis intervention, emergency shelters or transportation, counseling and criminal justice advocacy; and crime victim compensation programs that cover expenses incurred as a result of the crime.¹⁷

The Violence Against Women Act (VAWA)—enacted in 1994 and reauthorized in 2000, 2005 and 2013—created an array of federal protections for victims of crimes, including domestic violence, sexual assault and stalking. Additionally, VAWA provided funding for services and programs to combat violent crimes against women. VAWA funds are administrated by the Office on Violence Against Women (OVW), U.S. Department of Justice.

Agencies that receive VOCA or VAWA funding are mandated to protect crime victims' confidentiality and privacy subject to limited exceptions, such as mandatory reporting or statutory or court mandates. Specifically, state administering agencies and subrecipients of VOCA funding, are mandated "to the extent permitted by law, [to] reasonably protect the confidentiality and privacy of [victims] receiving services . . . and shall not disclose, reveal, or release, except . . . [in limited circumstances:] (1) [a]ny personally identifying information or individual information collected in connection with VOCA-funded services requested, utilized, or denied, regardless of whether such information has been encoded, encrypted, hashed, or otherwise protected; or (2) [i]ndividual client information, without the informed, written, reasonably time-limited consent of the person about whom information is sought" 28 C.F.R. § 94.115(a)(1)–(2). Agencies that receive VAWA funding are subject to nearly identical duties to protect crime victims' confidentiality and

privacy subject to limited exceptions. See 34 U.S.C. § 12291(b)(2).

Even if disclosure of individual client information is required by statute or court order, state administering agencies and sub-recipients' privacy and confidentiality obligations owed to crime victims do not disappear. State administering agencies and subrecipients of VOCA funds "shall make reasonable attempts to provide notice to victims affected by the disclosure of the information, and take reasonable steps necessary to protect the privacy and safety of the persons affected by the release of the information." 28 C.F.R. § 94.115(b). VAWA imposes similar requirements on recipients of funding. See 34 U.S.C. § 12291(b)(2)(C) ("If release of information . . . is compelled by statutory or court mandate[,]... grantees and subgrantees shall make reasonable attempts to provide notice to victims affected by the disclosure of information[] and ... shall take steps necessary to protect the privacy and safety of the persons affected by the release of the information."). VOCA also mandates that none of the protections afforded to victims be circumvented. For example, a crime victim may neither be required to release personally identifying information in exchange for services nor be required to provide personally identifying information for recording or reporting purposes. 28 C.F.R. § 94.115(d).

It is important that advocates are aware if their positions and/or offices are subject to VOCA's and VAWA's mandates regarding victims' confidentiality and privacy protections and if so, understand how these mandates interact with disclosure obligations.

<u>FOIA</u>: Open records' laws—also commonly referred to as public records' laws or sunshine laws—permit any person to request government documents and, if the government refuses to turn them over, to file a lawsuit to compel disclosure. Every state and the federal government have such laws, which carry a presumption of disclosure, meaning that all government records are presumed open for public inspection unless an exemption applies.

The federal open records' law, known as the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA or the "Act"), 5 U.S.C. §552, was enacted in 1966. Similar to its state counterparts, FOIA provides for the legally enforceable right of any person to obtain access to federal agency records subject to the Act, except to the extent that any portions of such records are protected from public disclosure by one of the nine exemptions. Three such exemptions, Exemptions 6, 7(C) and 7(F) protect different types of personal information in federal records from disclosure. Exemption 6 "protects information about individuals in 'personnel and medical files and similar files' when the disclosure of such information 'would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy."¹⁸ Exemption 7(C) "is limited to information compiled for law enforcement purposes, and protects personal information when disclosure 'could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy."¹⁹ Under both exemptions, "the concept of privacy not only encompasses that which is inherently private, but also includes an 'individual's control of information concerning [his/her/their] person."20 Exemption 7(F), which also applies to law enforcement records, exempts records that contain information that "could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of any individual."²¹

Similar to FOIA, state open records' laws contain numerous exemptions, including for some types of law enforcement records (for example, prohibitions on disclosing identifying information of victims' and witnesses' generally or of child-victims and/or victims of certain crimes). Advocates should have an understanding of their jurisdiction's open records' laws, especially as they relate to exemptions from disclosure that may be afforded to law enforcement and other victim-related records within their office's possession. Jurisdiction-specific victims' rights laws—including rights to privacy and protection—also provide grounds for challenging public records' requests for victims' private information.

Are there ethical standards relevant to my work as an advocate?

Key Takeaways

- Advocates should know what ethical standards apply to their work with victims.
- Law enforcement agencies should develop a code of ethics specific to victim services personnel or, at a minimum, expand the scope of existing codes of ethics to include them.

Discussion

Yes, there are ethical standards—or "principles of conduct"—that guide victim advocates in their work.²² Although there is no formal regulatory board that oversees victim assistance programs, the *Model Standards for Serving Victims & Survivors of Crime (Model Standards)* was created by the National Victim Assistance Standards Consortium with guidance from experts across the nation "to promote the competency and ethical integrity of victim service providers, in order to enhance their capacity to provide high-quality, consistent responses to crime victims and to meet the demands facing the field today."²³

The *Model Standards* cover three areas: (1) Program Standards for Serving Victims & Survivors of Crime; (2) Competency Standards for Serving Victims & Survivors of Crime; and (3) Ethical Standards for Serving Victims & Survivors of Crime.

The third area—Ethical Standards for Serving Victims & Survivors of Crime—contains "ethical expectations" of victim service providers that are "based on core values" in the field and are intended to serve as guidelines for providers in the course of their work. The Ethical Standards are comprised of five sections:

- (1) Scope of Services;
- (2) Coordinating within the Community;
- (3) Direct Services;
- (4) Privacy, Confidentiality, Data Security and Assistive Technology; and
- (5) Administration and Evaluation.²⁴

Notably, "[p]rofessionals who are trained in another field (*e.g.*, psychology, social work) but are engaging in victim services will [also] abide by their own professional codes of ethics. If th[ose] ethical standards establish a higher standard of conduct than is required

by law or another professional ethic, victim assistance providers should meet the higher ethical standard. If ethical standards appear to conflict with the requirements of law or another professional ethic, providers should take steps to resolve the conflict in a responsible manner.²⁵

Many law enforcement agencies have established their own code of ethics. Often, these codes of ethics are developed to guide the behavior of sworn personnel and may not encompass the role of victim services. Agencies are encouraged to develop a code of ethics specific to victim services personnel or, at a minimum, expand the scope of existing codes of ethics to include them.²⁶

What is the difference between discovery and production and how does this relate to the Supreme Court's decision in *Brady v. Maryland*?

Key Takeaways

- In a criminal case, the term "discovery" refers to the exchange of information between parties to the case—the prosecutor and defendant. The term "production" refers to the defendant's more limited right to obtain information from nonparties, such as victims. Sometimes the term "discovery" is used to describe the parties' requests for information and records from nonparties, but this is an imprecise use of the word as it confuses the two ideas.
- In *Brady v. Maryland* the United States Supreme Court announced a rule, and state laws have adopted it also, that impose on the prosecutor's office—and by extension on other governmental agencies such as law enforcement—legal obligations to disclose information to the accused and their lawyer even if they do not ask for it. These obligations are sometimes called *Brady* Obligations or Discovery Obligations.
- Pursuant to these obligations, the prosecutor is only constitutionally required to disclose information that is exculpatory and material to the issue of guilt, and which is within the custody or control of the prosecutor.
- Beyond that material to which a defendant is constitutionally entitled under *Brady*, state statute or procedural rule may entitle a criminal defendant to additional discovery materials.
- If records are not properly in the possession or control of the prosecutor, a defendant can only try to obtain them through their more limited right of production by seeking a subpoena pursuant to the jurisdiction's statutes and rules governing production of documents from a nonparty.
- Federal and state courts have found that prosecution-based victim advocates are part of the "prosecution team" for *Brady* purposes. Therefore, *Brady*/Discovery Obligations generally attach to system-based advocates, and these obligations can override an advocate's ability to keep something confidential. That means anything shared with a system-based advocate may have to be disclosed to the accused and their lawyer.

• Victims should be informed at the outset that disclosure requirements—imposed by *Brady* as well as a jurisdiction's statutes and rules governing discovery—may impact victim privacy.

Discussion

The Supreme Court case Brady v. Maryland, as well as jurisdiction-specific statutes and court rules, impose discovery and disclosure obligations on the prosecution and defendant—not on the victim.

In criminal cases, victim privacy is routinely at risk by parties seeking personal records, such as counseling, mental health, medical, employment, educational and child protective services records. The law governing when these records must be disclosed to a defendant is complex, touching on a number of factors, including whether the records are within the government's control; whether they are protected by a privilege; whether any applicable privilege is absolute or qualified; whether a victim has waived any privilege in full or in part; the scope of the jurisdiction's constitutional or statutory rights and/or protections for victims; and the jurisdiction's statutes and rules governing discovery and production. If the records sought are properly in the possession or control of the prosecutor, a defendant may be entitled to them, pursuant to constitutional, statutory or rule-based rights to discovery. If, however, the records are not in the possession (or properly in the possession) of the prosecutor, a defendant must subpoena those records pursuant to the jurisdiction's statutes and rules governing production of documents from a nonparty. Although courts and practitioners sometimes refer to defendant's receipt of materials from both the prosecutor and nonparties as "discovery," this imprecise use of the term confuses a defendant's right to discovery from the prosecutor with a defendant's right to production from a nonparty.

In a criminal prosecution, the term "discovery" refers to the exchange of information between parties to the case—the prosecutor and defendant. *See, e.g.*, Fed R. Crim. P. 16 (entitled "Discovery and Inspection," the rule explicitly and exclusively governs discovery between the government and defendant). It does not govern defendant's ability to obtain information directly from a crime victim or other nonparty. With regard to discovery from the prosecutor, a criminal defendant has no general federal constitutional right to discovery.²⁷ The prosecutor, instead, is only constitutionally required to disclose information that is exculpatory and material to the issue of guilt, *see Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83, 87–88 (1963), and which is within the custody or control of the prosecutor.²⁸ The *Brady* rule imposes an affirmative "duty to disclose such evidence . . . even [when] there has been no request [for the evidence] by the accused, . . . and . . . the duty encompasses impeachment evidence as well as exculpatory evidence."²⁹ The prosecutor's *Brady* obligation extends to all exculpatory material and impeachment evidence and to "others acting on the government's behalf in th[e] case, including the police."³⁰

Federal and state courts have found that prosecution-based victim advocates are considered part of the "prosecution team" for *Brady* purposes.³¹ Beyond that material to which a defendant is constitutionally entitled, a prosecutor's obligation to disclose information is

governed by statute or procedural rule. A criminal defendant is often entitled to additional discovery materials from the prosecutor pursuant to statutes or rules, though discovery statutes and rules vary widely between jurisdictions.³² For more information about the *Brady* rule, including the legal background of the rule and considerations for assessing its application to information in the possession of law enforcement-associated victim service providers, *see* Nat'l Crime Victim Law Inst., *Law Enforcement-Associated Victim Service Providers and The* Brady *Rule: Legal Background and Considerations*, 2023, https://ncvli.org/law-enforcement-associated-victim-service-providers-and-the-brady-rule/.

Victims should be informed that disclosure requirements—imposed by Brady as well as a jurisdiction's statutes and rules governing discovery—may impact victim privacy.

Prosecutors are required by law to disclose exculpatory statements to the defense. Because system-based advocates are generally considered agents of the prosecutors, and prosecutors are deemed to know what advocates know, such advocates are generally required to disclose to the prosecutors the exculpatory statements made by victims to advocates.³³ Examples of exculpatory statements might include:

- "I lied to the police."
- "I hit him first and he was defending himself."
- "The crime didn't happen."
- "The defendant is not really the person who assaulted me."
- Any other statement from a victim that directly implicates a victim's truthfulness regarding the crime.
- Any other statement from the victim that provides information that could be helpful to a defendant's case.

Important steps that victim advocates may take to help ensure that their office has appropriate policies and procedures in place to protect victims in light of required disclosures to prosecutors' offices include:

- Ensure that every person clearly understands the prosecutor's interpretation and expectations regarding discovery and exculpatory evidence with regard to victim advocates.
- Work with the prosecutors' offices to create a policy/practice that addresses the limits of system-based advocate confidentiality.
- Inform victims prior to sharing of information if the victim advocate is bound by the rules that govern prosecutors.
- Develop a short, simple explanation to use with victims to communicate your responsibilities (*e.g.*, don't use the word "exculpatory").
- Consider including a simple statement in the initial contact letter or notice explaining limitations.
- Determine how and when advocates will remind victims of the limits of confidentiality throughout the process.
- Identify what documentation an advocate might come into contact with and whether

the prosecutors' office considers it discoverable. For example: (1) victim compensation forms; (2) victim impact statements; (3) restitution documentation; and (4) U-Visa application documentation.

- Create policies regarding the types of documentation that an advocate may not need from the victim in order to provide effective victim advocacy (*e.g.*, victim statements, treatment plans, safety plans, opinions, conclusions, criticisms). Determine a process for clearly marking documents that are not discoverable to ensure they are not inadvertently disclosed. For example, use a red stamp that says, "Not Discoverable."
- Inform the victim at the time they make a disclosure that constitutes exculpatory evidence—or soon as a statement is deemed exculpatory—that it is going to be disclosed.
- When possible, avoid receiving a victim impact statement in writing prior to sentencing.
- Develop relationships with complementary victim advocates and communicate about your obligations and boundaries regarding exculpatory evidence. This will allow everyone to help set realistic expectations with victims regarding privacy.
- Establish how exculpatory information will be communicated to the prosecutor's office.³⁴

What is *Giglio*, and why is it relevant to my work as an advocate?

Key Takeaways

• The United States Supreme Court (in *Giglio v. United States*) clarified the affirmative responsibility of the prosecutor's office to disclose to the defendant any information in its possession that is material to their guilt or innocence. This means that the prosecution does not wait for a defendant to ask for material but must disclose it even without them asking.

Discussion

Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150 (1972), is a case that was heard before the United States Supreme Court.³⁵ The impact of the Court's decision in *Giglio* intersects with advocates' work as it makes it imperative that advocates understand: (1) what "material evidence" is (see *Brady v. Maryland* section for additional information); (2) how the advocate's role is or is not related to the prosecutor's office along with any corresponding professional, ethical obligations; (3) ways to avoid re-victimization by preventing violations that would cause a victim to undergo a second trial for the same crime; (4) the types of procedures and regulations that need to be implemented for advocates to ensure—in the face of prosecutor or advocate turnover—that all relevant and appropriate information is provided to the prosecutor handling the case; and (5) whether state or other local laws impose additional obligations that build on those prescribed by *Giglio*.

What are key considerations for system-based advocates who receive a subpoena?³⁶

Key Takeaways

- Advocates may receive subpoenas to appear before the court or elsewhere to provide a sworn statement and/or to appear with specified documents.
- Victims should be informed immediately if advocates receive a subpoena for the information or documents related to a victim's case.
- There may be grounds to challenge a subpoena issued to a system-based or community-based advocate. These challenges can be made by the prosecutor, the community agency and/or the victims (either with or without the help of an attorney).

Discussion

In addition to providing prompt notice of receipt of a subpoena to the victim—whose rights and interests are implicated—a key consideration for system-based advocates, their superiors and the attorneys with whom they work is determining the type of subpoena received.³⁷ Subpoenas that system-based advocates often encounter are subpoenas demanding either: (a) a person's presence before a court or to a location other than a court for a sworn statement; or (b) a person's presence along with specified documentation, records or other tangible items.³⁸

When system-based advocates receive the latter (which is called a subpoena duces tecum) there are a number of factors that should be considered, such as whether the documentation, record or item sought (a) is discoverable; or (b) constitutes *Brady* material, as defined by federal, state and local law. If an item, for example, is neither discoverable nor *Brady* material, an advocate, by law, may not be required to disclose the item. The same may be true if the item falls within an exception to discovery and does not constitute *Brady* material.³⁹ For additional information on *Brady* material, see the *Brady v. Maryland* section pertaining to disclosure obligations. Notably, this analysis is relevant to other types of subpoenas as well. For example, if a person is subpoenaed to testify and it is anticipated that defense counsel will attempt to elicit testimony that he/she/they are not legally entitled to, a prosecutor may file a motion in advance—such as a motion in limine or a motion for a protective order—requesting that the scope of the testimony be narrowly tailored or otherwise limited in accordance with the jurisdiction's laws. For advocates employed by prosecutor's offices, this analysis must be completed in cooperation with the prosecuting attorney.

Other key considerations for system-based advocates, their superiors and the attorneys they work with include determining: whether the requester has a right to issue a subpoena, and, more specifically, a right to issue a subpoena for the person's attendance and/or items sought; whether the subpoena is unspecified, vague or overbroad to warrant an objection that the subpoena is facially invalid or procedurally flawed; whether court mechanisms are

available to oppose the subpoena; whether such mechanisms are time sensitive and require immediate action; whether the victim received ample notice and adequate information; what the victim's position is; and whether the law affords the victim privacy, confidentiality or privilege rights or protections that must be protected and enforced.

SELECT LAWS

SELECT PRIVACY LAWS

What are key privacy rights and/or protections in New Mexico?

Crime victims in New Mexico have rights to privacy found in myriad areas of the law. For example, New Mexico's constitution explicitly provides victims the right to be "treated with fairness and respect for the victim's dignity and privacy throughout the criminal justice process." N.M. Const. art 2, § 24(A)(1). New Mexico incorporated this protection into its statutory law, as well. *See* N.M. Stat. Ann. § 31-26-4(A) (guaranteeing victims the right to "be treated with fairness and respect for the victim's dignity and privacy throughout the criminal justice "be treated with fairness").

As part of the Sexual Assault Survivor's Bill of Rights, victims of sexual assault have the choice of whether or not to enter information from their sexual assault examination kit into the statewide tracking system. N.M. Stat. Ann. § 30-9-21(C)(5).

In connection with the criminal discovery process, courts have the authority to "make any order which justice requires to protect a party or person from annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, undue burden or expense, the risk of physical harm, intimidation, bribery or economic reprisals," which includes orders: (1) narrowing the scope of discovery; (2) limiting who can be present during depositions or statements; and (3) sealing records of depositions or statements, to be opened only by order of the court. *See* N.M. Dist. Ct. R. Crim. P. 5-507(A).

In addition, victims' personally identifying/contact information may be redacted from information provided to the opposing party as part of the criminal discovery process, when "the attorney deems it appropriate under the circumstances of the case." *See, e.g.,* N.M. Dist. Ct. R. Crim. P. 5-502.1(C)(1); N.M. Magis. Ct. R. Crim. P. 6-504.1(C)(1); N.M. Mun. Ct. R. P. 8-504.1(C)(1). In delinquency proceedings, when a delinquent child has consented to the release of their records, victims and witnesses have the right to have all their identifying information "redacted or otherwise deleted" from those records, prior to disclosure. *See* N.M. Stat. Ann. § 32A-2-32(D).

New Mexico law protects victims' privacy in settings related to victim testimony, as well. For example, New Mexico protects the privacy interests of victims in sex offense cases by prohibiting the introduction of evidence regarding a victim's past sexual conduct and psychological history. *See, e.g.*, N.M. Stat. Ann. § 30-9-16 (prohibiting the introduction of evidence of a victim's sexual conduct and psychological history in sex offense prosecutions, subject to specified exceptions); N.M. R. Evid. 11-412 (New Mexico's "rape shield" evidence rule, prohibiting the introduction of evidence of a victim's sexual behavior or sexual predisposition in sex offense prosecutions, with specified exceptions).

In addition to the above-discussed provisions that are directly related to victims' privacy rights, New Mexico crime victims have constitutional and statutory-based rights and protections that contemplate or necessitate victims' privacy protection. *See, e.g.*, N.M. Const. art. 2, § 24(A)(3) (guaranteeing victims of crime the right "to be reasonably protected from the accused throughout the criminal justice process"); N.M. Const. art 2, § 24(A)(8) (guaranteeing victims of crime the right "to restitution from the person convicted of the criminal conduct that caused the victim's loss or injury"); N.M. Const. art. 2, § 24(A)(10) (guaranteeing victims of crime the right "to have the prosecuting attorney notify the victim's employer, *if requested by the victim*, of the necessity of the victim's cooperation and testimony in a court proceeding that may necessitate the absence of the victim from work for good cause" (emphasis added)); N.M. Stat. Ann. § 31-26-2 (establishing that one purpose of the Victims of Crime Act is to "assure that . . . victims of violent crimes are treated with dignity, respect and sensitivity at all stages of the criminal justice process").

Additionally, New Mexico protects the privacy rights and interests of victims of domestic abuse (which includes offenses committed by a household member against another household member, as well as incidents of stalking and sexual harassment, whether committed by a household member or not) through its Confidential Substitute Address Program, *see* N.M. Stat. Ann. § 40-13B-1 through § 40-13B-9; N.M. Stat. Ann. § 40-13-2(D), which are discussed more fully in the section "Select Confidentiality Laws." Similarly, New Mexico law prohibits compelling a victim counselor or victim to "provide testimony in any civil or criminal proceeding that would identify the name, address, location or telephone number of a safe house, abuse shelter or other facility" N.M. Stat. Ann. § 31-25-3(B).

The section "Select Confidentiality Laws" also details how New Mexico protects victims' privacy interests in the context of public records requests.

SELECT CONFIDENTIALITY LAWS

What are key confidentiality rights and/or protections in New Mexico?

Victims in New Mexico have a number of rights and protections that they can assert to prevent disclosure of their confidential information and communications, subject to certain exceptions and/or waiver. For example, in the employment context, when a victim of

domestic abuse shares information with an employer pursuant to the Promoting Financial Independence for Victims of Domestic Abuse Act, the employer is required to maintain the confidentiality of that information and may not disclose any information about the employee's involvement in an incident of domestic abuse or about the employee's request for leave. *See* N.M. Stat. Ann. § 50-4A-6. Similarly, insurers may not disclose or transfer confidential information indicating that an applicant or insured is a victim of domestic abuse, absent the application of an enumerated exception. N.M. Stat. Ann. § 59A-16B-4(A)(3).

Victims of domestic abuse (which includes offenses committed by a household member against another household member, as well as incidents of stalking and sexual harassment, whether committed by a household member or not) may choose whether to participate in New Mexico's Confidential Substitute Address Program, which now operates as New Mexico's Safe at Home Program. *See* N.M. Stat. Ann. § 40-13B-1 through § 40-13B-9; N.M. Stat. Ann. § 40-13-2(D). This program allows victims of domestic abuse the opportunity to "protect the confidentiality of [their] residential and delivery addresses in public records." N.M. Stat. Ann. § 40-13B-3(A). A participant in the program is entitled to the confidentiality of their actual address(es), telephone number, and email address. N.M. Stat. Ann. § 40-13B-5(E) ("A participant's residential or delivery address, telephone number and email address that are maintained by an agency are not public records and shall not be disclosed pursuant to the Inspection of Public Records Act while a person is a participant.").

State law also extends confidentiality protections to other categories of victims. For instance, confidentiality obligations with respect to victims' personal information extends to testing for HIV and other sexually transmitted infections. See, e.g., N.M. Stat. Ann. § 24-1-9.1(B) (providing that, except for certain disclosures, "the results of the [sexually transmitted infection] test shall be disclosed only to the [convicted] offender and to the victim or the victim's parent or legal guardian"); N.M. Stat. Ann. § 24-1-9.2(D) (providing that, except for certain disclosures, "the results of the [sexually transmitted infection] test shall be disclosed only to the alleged offender and to the victim of the alleged criminal offense or the victim's parent or legal guardian"); N.M. Stat. Ann. §§ 24-1-9.4(A)-(B) (mandating that, except for enumerated exceptions, the nondisclosure of the identity of the person(s) tested for sexually transmitted infections and the results of such tests; and providing that unauthorized disclosure constitutes a petty misdemeanor crime); N.M. Stat. Ann. §§ 24-1-20(A), (F) (establishing the confidentiality of public health act records containing identifying information; and providing that unauthorized disclosure constitutes a petty misdemeanor crime); N.M. Stat. Ann. § 24-2B-5.1(B) (providing that any petition for HIV testing and "all proceedings in connection with the petition shall be under seal" and mandating that the results of HIV testing be disclosed "only to the [convicted] offender and to the victim or the victim's parent or legal guardian"); N.M. Stat. Ann. §§ 24-2B-5.2(C)-(D) (providing that any petition for HIV testing and "all proceedings in connection therewith shall be under seal" and mandating that test results be shared only with "the alleged offender and to the victim of the alleged criminal offense or the victim's parent or legal guardian"); N.M. Stat. Ann. § 24-2B-6 (providing for the confidentiality of identifying information and test results, with enumerated exceptions).

New Mexico further provides statutory mechanisms to protect victims' right to privacy when applying for Crime Victims Reparations Act funds. *See, e.g.*, N.M. Stat. Ann. § 31-22-18 (providing that "[a]ny record or report acquired by the commission, the confidentiality of which is protected by law, rule or regulation, shall be disclosed only under the same terms and conditions which protected its confidentiality prior to such acquisition. The claim file, which contains confidential reports, records and personal information, shall not be released").

Additionally, New Mexico provides victims with a number of confidentiality protections in the context of public records requests. Under New Mexico's public records law, "[e]very person has a right to inspect public records of this state," with specified exceptions. N.M. Stat. Ann. § 14-2-1. These exceptions include "records pertaining to physical or mental examinations and medical treatment of persons confined to an institution," "portions of law enforcement records," and information "as otherwise provided by law." N.M. Stat. Ann. §§ 14-2-1(A), (D), (L). When public records contain "personal identifier information," that information "may be redacted by a public body before inspection or copying of a record" and unredacted records containing "protected personal identifier information shall not be made available on publicly available websites operated by or managed on behalf of a public body." N.M. Stat. Ann. § 14-2-1.1. Pre-charging, "names, address, contact information or protected personal identifier information" of victims and non law-enforcement witnesses to certain crimes should be redacted before the public is provided access to the information. N.M. Stat. Ann. § 14-2-1.2(A)(1). Similarly, visual depictions of dead bodies, great bodily harm or severe violence, and intimate body parts should be redacted before access to information is permitted. N.M. Stat. Ann. §§ 14-2-1.2(A)(3)-(5). In addition, "visual or audio depiction of the notification to a member of the public of a family members' death" and "records pertaining to physical or mental examination and medical treatment of persons" are generally excluded from public access. N.M. Stat. Ann. §§ 14-2-1.2(A)(6), (8).

Victims may also seek professional treatment and support in the aftermath of a crime, such as counseling or advocacy services, which are protected by privacy protections, absent waivers and exceptions. *See, e.g.,* N.M. Stat. Ann. § 31-25-3 (victim counselor-victim privilege); N.M. R. Evid. 11-503 (lawyer-client privilege); N.M. R. Evid. 11-504 (physician-patient & psychotherapist-patient privilege); N.M. R. Evid. 11-506 (clergy privilege); N.M. R. Evid. 11-509 (communications to juvenile probation officers and social services workers privilege). Additional information about these privilege protections is provided in the section "Select Privilege Laws."

SELECT PRIVILEGE LAWS

What are key privileges in New Mexico?

Victims in New Mexico have a number of privileges that they can assert to prevent disclosure of confidential communications, barring certain exceptions and waivers. *See, e.g.,* N.M. Stat. Ann. § 31-25-3 (victim counselor-victim privilege); N.M. R. Evid. 11-503 (lawyer-client privilege); N.M. R. Evid. 11-504 (physician-patient & psychotherapist-patient privilege); N.M. R. Evid. 11-506 (clergy privilege); N.M. R. Evid. 11-509 (communications to juvenile probation officers and social services workers privilege).

There are exceptions to these privileges, which vary by privilege. *See*, *e.g.*, N.M. Stat. Ann. § 31-25-5 (providing that the victim counselor-victim privilege does not "relieve a victim counselor of a duty to report suspected child abuse or neglect . . . [or] to report any evidence that the victim is about to commit a crime"); N.M. R. Evid. 11-503(D) (enumerating the exceptions to the lawyer-client privilege); N.M. R. Evid. 11-504(D) (enumerating the exceptions to the physician/psychotherapist-patient privilege).

For reference, the key privileges referenced in this section appear below.

Victim Counselor- Victim Privilege.	 N.M. Stat. Ann. § 31-25-3. A. A victim, a victim counselor without the consent of the victim or a minor or incapacitated victim without the consent of a custodial guardian or a guardian ad litem appointed upon application of either party shall not be compelled to provide testimony or to produce records concerning confidential communications for any purpose in any criminal action or other judicial, legislative or administrative proceeding.
	 B. A victim counselor or a victim shall not be compelled to provide testimony in any civil or criminal proceeding that would identify the name, address, location or telephone number of a safe house, abuse shelter or other facility that provided temporary emergency shelter to the victim of the offense or occurrence that is the subject of a judicial, legislative or administrative proceeding. N.M. Stat. Ann. § 31-25-4.

	A. A victim does not waive the protections afforded by the Victim Counselor Confidentiality Act by testifying in court about the crime; provided that if the victim partially discloses the contents of a confidential communication in the course of his testimony, then either party to the action may request the court to rule that justice requires the protections of that act be waived to the extent they apply to that portion of the communication. Waiver shall apply only to the extent necessary to require any witness to respond to questions concerning the confidential communication that are relevant to the facts and circumstances of the case.
	B. A victim counselor shall not have authority to waive the protections afforded to a victim under the Victim Counselor Confidentiality Act; provided that if a victim brings suit against a victim counselor or the agency, business or organization in which the victim counselor was employed or served as a volunteer at the time of the counseling relationship and the suit alleges malpractice during the counseling relationship, the victim counselor may testify or produce records regarding confidential communications with the victim without liability for those actions.
	N.M. Stat. Ann. § 31-25-5.
	The Victim Counselor Confidentiality Act shall not be construed to relieve a victim counselor of a duty to report suspected child abuse or neglect pursuant to Section 32-1-15 NMSA 1978, to report any evidence that the victim is about to commit a crime or to limit any testimonial privileges available to any person pursuant to other provisions of law.
Lawyer-Client Privilege.	N.M. R. Evid. 11-503.
	 B. Scope of the privilege. A client has a privilege to refuse to disclose, and to prevent any other person from disclosing, a confidential communication made for the purpose of facilitating or providing professional legal services to that client, (1) between the client and the client's lawyer or representative; (2) between the client's lawyer and the lawyer's representative; (3) between the client or client's lawyer and another lawyer representing another in a matter of common interest;

	(1) between representatives of the client or between the client and
	(4) between representatives of the client or between the client and
	a representative of the client; or
	(5) between lawyers representing the client.
	C. Who may aloin the privilege. The privilege may be defined
	C. Who may claim the privilege. The privilege may be claimed
	by
	(1) the client;
	(2) the client's guardian or conservator;
	(3) the personal representative of a deceased client; or
	(4) the successor, trustee, or similar representative of a
	corporation, association, or other entity, whether or not in
	existence.
	The lawyer of the client at the time of the communication may
	claim the privilege only on behalf of the client. Authority to
	claim the privilege is presumed absent evidence to the contrary.
	D. Exceptions. There is no privilege under this rule:
	(1) Furtherance of crime or fraud. If the professional legal
	services were sought or obtained to enable or assist anyone in
	committing or planning to commit what the client knew or
	reasonably should have known to be a crime or fraud;
	(2) Claimants through same deceased client. For a
	communication relevant to an issue between parties who claim
	through the same deceased client, regardless of whether the
	claims are by testate or intestate succession or by inter vivos
	transaction;
	(3) Breach of duty by lawyer or client. For a communication
	relevant to an issue of breach of duty either by the lawyer to the
	lawyer's client or by the client to the client's lawyer;
	(4) Document attested by lawyer. For a communication relevant
	to an issue concerning an attested document to which the lawyer
	is an attesting witness; or
	(5) Joint clients. For a communication relevant to a matter of
	common interest between two or more clients if the
	communication was made by any of them to a lawyer retained or
	consulted in common, when offered in an action between any of
	the clients.
Physician- and	N.M. R. Evid. 11-504.
Psychotherapist-	
Patient Privilege.	
	B. Scope of the Privilege. A patient has a privilege to refuse to
	disclose, or to prevent any other person from disclosing, a
-	

confidential communication made for the purpose of diagnosis or treatment of the patient's physical, mental, or emotional condition, including drug addiction, between the patient and the patient's physician, psychotherapist, or state or nationally licensed mental-health therapist.
 C. Who May Claim the Privilege. (1) The privilege may be claimed by (a) the patient; (b) the patient's guardian or conservator; or (c) the personal representative of the deceased patient. (2) The privilege may be asserted on the patient's behalf by (a) the patient's physician; (b) the patient's psychotherapist; (c) the patient's state or nationally licensed mental-health therapist; or (d) any other person included in the communication to further the patient's interests, including individuals participating under the direction of the patient's physician, psychotherapist, or state or nationally licensed mental-health therapist. (3) Authority to claim the privilege is presumed absent evidence to the contrary.
 D. Exceptions. (1) Proceedings for Hospitalization. If a physician, psychotherapist, or state or nationally licensed mental-health therapist has determined that a patient must be hospitalized due to mental illness or presents a danger to himself or others, no privilege shall apply to confidential communications relevant to the proceedings to hospitalize the patient. (2) By order of the Court. Unless the court orders otherwise, any communications made by an individual during an examination of that individual's physical, mental, or emotional condition that has been ordered by the court are not privileged. (3) Elements of a Claim or Defense. If a patient relies on a physical, mental, or emotional condition. After a patient's death, should any party rely on a patient's physical, mental, or emotional condition.
(4) Required Reports. No privilege shall apply for confidential communications concerning any material that a physician, psychotherapist, state or nationally licensed mental-health

	therapist, or patient is required by law to report to a public employee or public agency.
Clergy Privilege.	N.M. R. Evid. 11-506.
	B. Scope of the privilege. A person has a privilege to refuse to disclose, or to prevent another from disclosing, a confidential communication made for the purpose of seeking spiritual advice by the person to a member of the clergy.
	 C. Who may claim the privilege. The privilege may be claimed by (1) the person who consults with a member of the clergy; (2) the person's guardian or conservator; or (3) the person's personal representative if the person is deceased.
	The privilege may be asserted on the person's behalf by the member of the clergy. Authority to claim the privilege is presumed absent evidence to the contrary.
Juvenile Probation Office and Social	N.M. R. Evid. 11-509.
Services Workers	
Privilege.	B. Scope of the privilege. A child alleged to be delinquent or in need of supervision and a parent, guardian, or custodian who allegedly neglected a child has a privilege to refuse to disclose, or to prevent any other person from disclosing, confidential communications, either oral or written, between the child, parent, guardian, or custodian and a probation officer or a social services worker which are made during the course of a preliminary inquiry.
	C. Who may claim the privilege. The privilege provided in Paragraph B of this rule may be claimed by the child in a criminal proceeding or in a children's court proceeding; or by the parent, guardian, or custodian who allegedly abused or neglected a child. The claim of privilege may be asserted by the attorney, the probation officer, or the social services worker on behalf of the child, parent, guardian, or custodian.

DEFINITIONS

Victims of Crime Act Definitions.	N.M. Stat. Ann. § 31-26-3.
	As used in the Victims of Crime Act:
	A. "court" means magistrate court, metropolitan court, children' court, district court, the court of appeals or the supreme court;
	B. "criminal offense" means:
	(1) negligent arson resulting in death or bodily injury, as provide in Subsection B of Section 30-17-5 NMSA 1978;
	 (2) aggravated arson, as provided in Section 30-17-6 NMSA 1978 (3) aggravated assault, as provided in Section 30-3-2 NMSA 1978 (4) aggravated battery, as provided in Section 30-3-5 NMSA 1978 (5) dangerous use of explosives, as provided in Section 30-7-NMSA 1978;
	 (6) negligent use of a deadly weapon, as provided in Section 30-7 4 NMSA 1978;
	 (7) murder, as provided in Section 30-2-1 NMSA 1978; (8) voluntary manslaughter, as provided in Section 30-2-3 NMSA 1978;
	 (9) involuntary manslaughter, as provided in Section 30-2-3 NMSA 1978;
	 (10) kidnapping, as provided in Section 30-4-1 NMSA 1978; (11) criminal sexual penetration, as provided in Section 30-9-1 NMSA 1978;
	 (12) criminal sexual contact of a minor, as provided in Section 30 9-13 NMSA 1978;
	 (13) armed robbery, as provided in Section 30-16-2 NMSA 1978; (14) homicide by vehicle, as provided in Section 66-8-101 NMSA 1978;
	(15) great bodily injury by vehicle, as provided in Section 66-8-10 NMSA 1978;
	(16) abandonment or abuse of a child, as provided in Section 30-6 1 NMSA 1978;
	(17) stalking or aggravated stalking, as provided in the Harassmer and Stalking Act;
	(18) aggravated assault against a household member, as provided i Section 30-3-13 NMSA 1978;

	 (19) assault against a household member with intent to commit a violent felony, as provided in Section 30-3-14 NMSA 1978; (20) battery against a household member, as provided in Section 30-3-15 NMSA 1978; or (21) aggravated battery against a household member, as provided in Section 30-3-16 NMSA 1978; C. "court proceeding" means a hearing, argument or other action scheduled by and held before a court; D. "family member" means a spouse, child, sibling, parent or grandparent; E. "formally charged" means the filing of an indictment, the filing of a criminal information pursuant to a bind-over order, the filing of a petition or the setting of a preliminary hearing; F. "victim" means an individual against whom a criminal offense is committed. "Victim" also means a family member or a victim's representative when the individual against whom a criminal offense was committed is a minor, is incompetent or is a homicide victim; and
Victim Councelor	G. "victim's representative" means an individual designated by a victim or appointed by the court to act in the best interests of the victim.
Victim Counselor Confidentiality Act Definitions.	 N.M. Stat. Ann. § 31-25-2. As used in the Victim Counselor Confidentiality Act: A. "confidential communication" means any information exchanged between a victim and a victim counselor in private or in the presence of a third party who is necessary to facilitate communication or further the counseling process and which is disclosed in the course of the counselor's treatment of the victim for any emotional or psychological condition resulting from a sexual assault or family violence; B. "victim" means a person who consults a victim counselor for assistance in overcoming adverse emotional or psychological effects of a sexual assault or family violence;

	 C. "victim counseling" means assessment, diagnosis and treatment to alleviate the adverse emotional or psychological impact of a sexual assault or family violence on the victim. Victim counseling includes crisis intervention; D. "victim counseling center" means a private organization or unit of a government agency which has as one of its primary purposes the treatment of victims for any emotional or psychological condition resulting from a sexual assault or family violence; and E. "victim counselor" means any employee or supervised volunteer of a victim counseling center or other agency, business or organization that provides counseling to victims who is not affiliated with a law enforcement agency or the office of a district attorney, has successfully completed forty hours of academic or other formal victim counseling training or has had a minimum of one year of experience in providing victim counseling and whose duties include victim counseling.
Confidential Substitute Address Act Definitions.	 N.M. Stat. Ann. § 40-13B-2. As used in the Confidential Substitute Address Act: A. "agency" means an agency of the state or of a political subdivision of the state; B. "applicant" means a person who submits an application to participate in the confidential substitute address program; C. "application assistant" means a person who works or volunteers for a domestic violence or sexual assault program and who assists in preparing an application for the confidential substitute address program; D. "confidential substitute address" means an address designated for a participant by the secretary of state pursuant to the Confidential Substitute Address Act; E. "delivery address" means the address where an applicant or a participant receives mail, and it may be the same as the person's residential address; F. "domestic violence" means "domestic abuse", as defined in the Family Violence Protection Act;

	G. "participant" means a person certified to participate in the confidential substitute address program pursuant to the Confidential Substitute Address Act;
	H. "public record" means "public records", as defined in the Inspection of Public Records Act; and
	I. "residential address" means the street address where an applicant or participant resides or will relocate.
	* "Domestic abuse," as defined in the Family Violence Protection Act (N.M. Stat. Ann. § 40-13-2(D)):
	(1) means an incident of stalking or sexual assault whether committed by a household member or not;
	 (2) means an incident by a household member against another household member consisting of or resulting in: (a) physical harm; (b) severe emotional distress; (a) hadily injury or according
	 (c) bodily injury or assault; (d) a threat causing imminent fear of bodily injury by any household member; (e) criminal trespass; (f) criminal damage to property;
	(g) repeatedly driving by a residence or work place;(h) telephone harassment;(i) harassment;
	(j) strangulation;(k) suffocation; or
	(l) harm or threatened harm to children as set forth in this paragraph; and
	(3) does not mean the use of force in self-defense or the defense of another[.]
Promoting Financial Independence for	N.M. Stat. Ann. § 50-4A-2.
Victims of Domestic Abuse Act Definitions.	As used in the Promoting Financial Independence for Victims of Domestic Abuse Act:

	A. "domestic abuse" has the same meaning as it does in the Family Violence Protection Act;
	B. "domestic abuse leave" means intermittent paid or unpaid leave time for up to fourteen days in any calendar year, taken by an employee for up to eight hours in one day, to obtain or attempt to obtain an order of protection or other judicial relief from domestic abuse or to meet with law enforcement officials, to consult with attorneys or district attorneys' victim advocates or to attend court proceedings related to the domestic abuse of an employee or an employee's family member;
	C. "employee" means a person who is employed by an employer;
	D. "employer" includes a person, a firm, a partnership, an association, a corporation, a receiver or an officer of the court of New Mexico, a state agency, or a unit of local government or a school district;
	E. "family member" means a minor child of the employee or a person for whom the employee is a legal guardian;
	F. "order of protection" means a court order granted pursuant to the Family Violence Protection Act; and
	G. "retaliation" means an adverse action against an employee, including threats, reprisals or discrimination for engaging in the protected activity of taking domestic abuse leave.
Lawyer-Client Privilege Definitions.	N.M. R. Evid. 11-503(A).
Thriege Definitions.	Definitions. For purposes of this rule,
	(1) a "client" is a person, public officer, corporation, association, or other entity who consults with, seeks advice from, or retains the professional services of a lawyer or a lawyer's representative;
	(2) a "lawyer" is a person authorized, or reasonably believed by the client to be authorized, to practice law in any state or nation;
	(3) a "representative of a lawyer" is one employed to assist the lawyer in providing professional legal services; and

	(4) a communication is "confidential" if made privately and not intended for further disclosure except to other persons in furtherance of the purpose of the communication and includes the act of contacting or retaining a lawyer for the purpose of seeking professional legal services if not intended to be disclosed to third persons.
Physician- and Psychotherapist- Patient Privilege Definitions.	 N.M. R. Evid. 11-504(A). Definitions. For purposes of this rule, (1) a "patient" is a person who consults with or is examined by a physician, psychotherapist, or state or nationally licensed mentalhealth therapist; (2) a "physician" is a person authorized to practice medicine in any state or nation, or reasonably believed by the patient to be so licensed; (3) a "psychotherapist" is a person engaged in the diagnosis or treatment of a mental or emotional condition, including drug addiction, and who is (a) a physician; or (b) a person licensed or certified as a psychologist under the laws of any state or nation, or reasonably believed by the patient to be so licensed or certified. (4) a "state or nationally licensed mental-health therapist" is a person licensed or certified to provide counseling services as a social worker, marriage or family therapist, or other mental-health counselor; and (5) a communication is "confidential" if made privately and not intended for further disclosure except to other persons in furtherance of the purpose of the communication.
Clergy Privilege Definitions.	 N.M. R. Evid. 11-506(A). Definitions. For purposes of this rule, (1) a "member of the clergy" is a minister, priest, rabbi, or similar functionary of a religious organization, or an individual reasonably believed so to be by the person consulting that person;

	(2) a communication is "confidential" if made privately and not intended for further disclosure except to other persons in furtherance of the purpose of the communication.
Juvenile Probation Office and Social Services Workers Privilege Definitions.	 N.M. R. Evid. 11-509(A). Definitions. For purposes of this rule, (1) "probation officer" means a person employed by the Children, Youth and Families Department or successor entity who conducts preliminary inquiries pursuant to the Children's Code [Chapter 32A NMSA 1978] and Children's Court Rules and Forms; (2) "social services worker" means a person employed by the Children, Youth and Families Department or successor entity who conducts preliminary inquiries pursuant to the Children's Code and Children's Court Rules and Forms; and (3) a communication is "confidential" if made privately and not intended for further disclosure except to other persons in furtherance of the purpose of the communication.

¹ See Office for Victims of Crime, Ethical Standards, Section I: Scope of Services, https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical_standards_1.html.

² Additional examples of system-based advocate titles include: district attorney's office/state attorney's office advocates or victim-witness coordinators; law enforcement advocates; FBI victim specialists; U.S. attorney's office victim-witness coordinators; board of parole and post-prison supervision advocates; and post-conviction advocates. ³ Examples of community-based advocates include: crisis hotline or helpline staff; rape crisis center staff; domestic violence shelter staff; campus advocates; and homicide support program staff.

⁴ See Nat'l Crime Victim Law Inst., *Refusing Discovery Requests of Privileged Materials Pretrial in Criminal Cases*, NCVLI Violence Against Women Bulletin (Nat'l Crime Victim Law Inst., Portland, Or.), June 2011, at 3 n.30 (listing victims' constitutional and statutory rights to privacy and to dignity, respect or fairness), https://ncvli.org/refusing-discovery-requests-of-privileged-materials-pretrial-in-criminal-cases-2011/.

⁵ See, e.g., Whalen v. Roe, 429 U.S. 589, 599 (1977) (recognizing, in a case involving the recording of patient information relating to certain prescribed medications, a federal constitutional right to privacy that includes "the individual interest in avoiding disclosure of personal matters"); *Eastwood v. Dep't of Corrections*, 846 F.2d 627, 630–31 (10th Cir. 1988) (reviewing case law addressing constitutional protections for privacy and forced disclosure of personal matters); *cf. Griswold v. Connecticut*, 381 U.S. 479, 484–86 (1965) (discussing "zones of privacy" created by various guarantees in the Bill of Rights).

⁶ There are different levels of privileges: absolute, absolute diluted and qualified. When an absolute privilege attaches, only a victim has the right to authorize disclosure of that information and the court can never order the information to be disclosed without the victim's consent. Absolute privileges are rare, however, because privileges are seen to run contrary to the truth finding function of courts.

⁷ See, e.g., Ala. R. Evid. 503A(a)(7) ("Victim counselor' means any employee or supervised volunteer of a victim counseling center or other agency, business, or organization that provides counseling to victims, who is not affiliated

with a law enforcement agency or prosecutor's office and whose duties include treating victims for any emotional or psychological condition resulting from a sexual assault or family violence."); Alaska Stat. Ann. § 18.66.250(5)(B) ("[V]ictim counseling center' means a private organization, an organization operated by or contracted by a branch of the armed forces of the United States, or a local government agency that ... is not affiliated with a law enforcement agency or a prosecutor's office[.]"); Haw. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 626-1, Rule 505.5(a)(6) ("A 'victim counseling program' is any activity of a domestic violence victims' program or a sexual assault crisis center that has, as its primary function, the counseling and treatment of sexual assault, domestic violence, or child abuse victims and their families, and that operates independently of any law enforcement agency, prosecutor's office, or the department of human services."); Ind. Code Ann. § 35-37-6-5(2) ("[V]ictim service provider' means a person ... that is not affiliated with a law enforcement agency[.]"); Neb. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 29-4302(1) ("Advocate means any employee or supervised volunteer of a domestic violence and sexual assault victim assistance program or of any other agency, business, or organization that is not affiliated with a law enforcement or prosecutor's office whose primary purpose is assisting domestic violence and sexual assault victims[.]"); N.M. Stat. Ann. § 31-25-2(E) ("[V]ictim counselor' means any employee or supervised volunteer of a victim counseling center or other agency, business or organization that provides counseling to victims who is not affiliated with a law enforcement agency or the office of a district attorney").

⁸ Terms that inform the intersection of victim services and HIPAA, FERPA, FOIA or VOCA are "implied consent" and "waiver." "Informed consent" is defined as "1. [a] person's agreement to allow something to happen, made with full knowledge of the risks involved and the alternatives. For the legal profession, informed consent is defined in Model Rule of Professional Conduct 1.0(e)[;] [or] 2. [a] patient's knowing choice about a medical treatment or procedure, made after a physician or other healthcare provider discloses whatever information a reasonably prudent provider in the medical field community would give to a patient regarding the risks involved in the proposed treatment or procedure." *Informed consent*, Black's Law Dictionary (8th ed. 2004). "Waiver" is defined as "[t]he voluntary relinquishment or abandonment—express or implied—of a legal right or advantage" *Waiver*, Black's Law Dictionary (8th ed. 2004).

⁹ Privacy Tech. Assistance Ctr., U.S. Dep't of Educ., School Resource Officers, School Law Enforcement Units, and the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA), at 6,

https://studentprivacy.ed.gov/sites/default/files/resource_document/file/SRO_FAQs_2-5-19_0.pdf.

 $\frac{10}{Id}$.

¹¹ Id.

¹² *Id.* at 7-21.

¹³ Protecting Student Privacy, U.S. Dep't of Educ., *Are law enforcement records considered education records?*, https://studentprivacy.ed.gov/faq/are-law-enforcement-records-considered-education-records.

¹⁴ Privacy Tech. Assistance Ctr., *supra* note 9, at 6.

¹⁵ Office for Victims of Crime, Crime Victims Fund,

https://www.ovc.gov/pubs/crimevictimsfundfs/intro.html#VictimAssist.

¹⁶ Id.

¹⁷ Id.

¹⁸ Department of Justice Guide to the Freedom of Information Act, at 1,

https://www.justice.gov/sites/default/files/oip/legacy/2014/07/23/exemption6.pdf.

²⁰ *Id*.

 21 *Id.*

²² Ethic, Merriam-webster.com, <u>https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/ethics</u> (last visited Sept. 19, 2023).
 ²³ Office for Victims of Crime, *Purpose & Scope of The Standards*, <u>https://www.ovc.gov/model-</u>

standards/purpose and scope.html.

²⁴ Office for Victims of Crime, *Ethical Standards for Serving Victims & Survivors of Crime*,

<u>https://ovc.ojp.gov/sites/g/files/xyckuh226/files/model-standards/6/ethical_standards.html</u>. Each of the five sections contain ethical standards and corresponding commentaries, explaining each standard in detail. For "Scope of Services," the ethical standards and their corresponding commentaries can be located at <u>https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical_standards_1.html</u>. For "Coordinating within the Community," the ethical standards and their corresponding commentaries can be located at <u>https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical_standards_2.html</u>. For

"Direct Services," the ethical standards and their corresponding commentaries can be located at https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical standards 3.html. For "Privacy, Confidentiality, Data Security and

www.ncvli.org • September 2023

¹⁹ *Id*.

Assistive Technology," the ethical standards and their corresponding commentaries can be located at <u>https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical_standards_4.html</u>. For "Administration and Evaluation," the ethical standard and the corresponding commentary can be located at <u>https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards_5.html</u>.

²⁵ Office for Victims of Crime, *Ethical Standards for Serving Victims & Survivors of Crime*, https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical_standards.html.

²⁶ For a sample law enforcement-based victim services code of ethics drafted by the International Association of Chiefs of Police, see *Law Enforcement-Based Victim Services – Template Package I: Getting Started*, at 28-30, https://www.theiacp.org/sites/default/files/LEV/Publications/TemplatePackageI.pdf.

²⁷ See Weatherford v. Bursey, 429 U.S. 545, 559 (1977) ("There is no general constitutional right to discovery in a criminal case, and Brady did not create one").

²⁸ See, e.g., United States v. Agurs, 427 U.S. 97, 106–07 (1976).

²⁹ Strickler v. Greene, 527 U.S. 263, 280 (1999) (internal citations omitted).

³⁰ *Id.* at 281.

³¹ See, e.g., Eakes v. Sexton, 592 F. App'x 422, 429 (6th Cir. 2014) (unpublished) (finding that "contrary to the district court's conclusion that the [state] prosecutor was not responsible for failing to disclose the Victim-Advocate report because the Advocate was located 'in a separate part of the District Attorney's office,' the prosecutor is in fact responsible for disclosing all *Brady* information in the possession of that office, such as the Victim-Advocate report, even if the prosecutor was unaware of the evidence prior to trial"); *Commonwealth v. Liang*, 747 N.E.2d 112, 114 (Mass. 2001) (concluding that "the notes of [prosecution-based] advocates are subject to the same discovery rules as the notes of prosecutors[,]" and "[t]o the extent that the notes contain material, exculpatory information . . . or relevant 'statements' of a victim or witness . . . the Commonwealth must disclose such information or statements to the defendant, in accordance with due process and the rules of criminal procedure").

³² For additional information, *see* Nat'l Crime Victim Law Inst., *What are* Brady *Disclosure Obligations?*, 2023, <u>https://ncvli.org/what-are-brady-disclosure-obligations/</u>.

³³ Notably, for advocates/entities that receive VOCA funding, because this disclosure is "compelled by statutory or court mandate," it does not pursuant to statute, require a signed, written release from the victim. Nevertheless, if disclosure is required, VOCA requires that advocates make reasonable attempts to notify the victim affected by the disclosure and take whatever steps are necessary to protect their privacy and safety.

³⁴ See also Nat'l Crime Victim Law Inst., *Law Enforcement-Associated Victim Service Providers and The* Brady *Rule: Legal Background and Considerations*, 2023, <u>https://ncvli.org/law-enforcement-associated-victim-service-providers-and-the-brady-rule/</u>.

³⁵ Defendant John Giglio was tried, convicted and sentenced for forgery related crimes. While Giglio's case was pending appeal, his attorney filed a motion for a new trial, claiming that there was newly discovered evidence that the key Government witness—"the only witness linking [Giglio] with the crime"—had been promised that he would not be prosecuted in exchange for his testimony. Giglio, 405 U.S. at 150-52. The defense attorney's motion was initially denied, but certiorari review was granted "to determine whether the evidence [that was] not disclosed . . . require[d] a new trial under the due process criteria of" cases, including Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83, 87 (1963), which "held that suppression of material evidence justifies a new trial" whether the prosecutor intended to withhold information or not. Id. at 151-53. "An affidavit filed by the Government as part of its opposition to a new trial confirm[ed] [Giglio's] claim that a promise was made to [the key Government witness]" by the former Assistant United States Attorney "that [the witness] would not be prosecuted if he cooperated with the Government." Id. at 152-53. This promise of leniency was made by the formerly assigned Assistant United States Attorney who did not handle the trial; and the Assistant United States Attorney who handled the trial was unaware of the promise. Id. at 152. The Supreme Court held that nondisclosure of material evidence "is the responsibility of the prosecutor"whether nondisclosure was intentional or not-and that such action is directly attributable to the Government. Id. at 154. Addressing the topic of "turnover," principally, the Court explained that "[t]o the extent this places a burden on the large prosecution offices, procedures and regulations can be established to carry that burden and to [e]nsure communication of all relevant information on each case to every lawyer who deals with it." Id. Giglio's conviction was reversed, and the case was remanded to the lower court. Id. at 155.

³⁶ This section addresses subpoenas directed to system-based advocates. For information concerning communitybased advocates and subpoenas, please contact NCVLI for technical assistance. ³⁷ Terminology for subpoenas varies from jurisdiction-to-jurisdiction. Common examples of subpoenas include: "subpoenas"; "subpoenas duces tecum"; "deposition subpoenas"; and "subpoenas ad testificandum." *See Subpoena*, Black's Law Dictionary (8th ed. 2004).

³⁸ See Subpoena, Black's Law Dictionary (8th ed. 2004) (defining "subpoena" as "[a] writ commanding a person to appear before a court or other tribunal, subject to a penalty for failing to comply"); subpoena duces tecum, Black's Law Dictionary (8th ed. 2004) (defining "subpoena duces tecum" as "[a] subpoena ordering the witness to appear and to bring specified documents, records, or things"); deposition subpoena, Black's Law Dictionary (8th ed. 2004) (defining "subpoena issued to summon a person to make a sworn statement in a time and place other than a trial[;] [and] 2. [i]n some jurisdictions, [this is referred to as] a subpoena duces tecum").
³⁹ Attorney work product "is generally exempt from discovery or other compelled disclosure." Work product, Black's Law Dictionary (8th ed. 2004).

This resource was developed by the National Crime Victim Law Institute (NCVLI) under 2018-V3-GX-K049, 2020-V3-GX-K001 and 15OVC-22-GK-01805-NONF, awarded to the International Association of Chiefs of Police (IACP) by the Office for Victims of Crime, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice. The opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this resource are those of the contributors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the U.S. Department of Justice.