



# NATIONAL CRIME VICTIM LAW INSTITUTE

## LAW ENFORCEMENT-BASED VICTIM SERVICES IN ALABAMA: PRIVACY, PRIVILEGE AND CONFIDENTIALITY

### INTRODUCTION

Best practice in victim services is about facilitating victims' ability to exercise meaningful choices. This requires understanding and supporting the exercise of victims' rights, which are found in state constitutions, statutes, rules and policies. For victims' rights to be meaningful, both compliance with and enforcement of these rights is necessary. Compliance is the fulfillment of legal responsibilities to victims and making efforts to reduce willful, negligent or inadvertent failures to fulfill those legal responsibilities; enforcement is the pursuit, by a victim or someone on behalf of a victim, of a judicial or administrative order that either mandates compliance with victims' rights or provides remedies for violations of victims' rights laws.

In addition to understanding victims' rights, best practices in victim services require understanding one's legal and ethical obligations as an advocate with regard to victim privacy, confidentiality and privilege, and the scope of one's services. Informing victims—at the first or earliest possible contact with them—of their rights and the advocate's role, including limitations on that role, is critical to victims' ability to make informed decisions about whether and how to exercise their rights, as well as whether, what and how much to share with any particular service provider. In addition, advocates need to build and maintain relationships throughout the community in order to provide meaningful referrals to victim service providers with complementary roles when a victim needs the referral.

### USING THIS RESOURCE

This resource is designed to enhance victim services personnel's knowledge and understanding of the law governing crime victims' rights to privacy, confidentiality and privilege in Alabama. It provides an overview of key concepts and excerpts of key legal citations that can help facilitate victims' meaningful choices regarding these rights. To keep this *Guide* as user-friendly as possible in light of the breadth, complexity and evolving nature of law, the *Guide* does not include all laws. It does not constitute legal advice, nor does it substitute for legal advice. This resource is best used together with its companion resource: *Select Victims' Rights - Alabama*.

This resource was developed by the National Crime Victim Law Institute (NCVLI) under 2018-V3-GX-K049, 2020-V3-GX-K001 and 15OVC-22-GK-01805-NONF, awarded to the International Association of Chiefs of Police (IACP) by the Office for Victims of Crime, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice. The opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this resource are those of the contributors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the U.S. Department of Justice.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>Introduction</b> .....	1
<b>Using This Resource</b> .....	1
<b>Overview</b> .....	3
System-Based and Community-Based Advocates .....	3
Privacy, Confidentiality and Privilege .....	4
HIPAA, FERPA, VOCA, VAWA and FOIA .....	8
Ethical Code Relevant to Advocates .....	12
<i>Brady v. Maryland</i> .....	13
<i>Giglio v. United States</i> .....	16
Subpoena Considerations .....	17
<b>Select Laws</b> .....	18
Privacy.....	18
Confidentiality.....	20
Privilege .....	21
Definitions.....	26

## OVERVIEW

### What are the key similarities and differences between system-based and community-based advocates?

#### Key Takeaways

- System-based advocates are typically employed by a law enforcement agency, prosecutor's office, corrections, or another governmental agency.
- Community-based advocates are typically employed by a nonprofit/non-governmental agency.
- The United States Supreme Court and state laws impose on the prosecutor's office—and by extension on other governmental agencies such as law enforcement—legal obligations to disclose information to the accused and their lawyer. These obligations are sometimes called *Brady* Obligations or Discovery Obligations.
- *Brady*/Discovery Obligations generally attach to system-based advocates, and these obligations can override an advocate's ability to keep something confidential. That means anything shared with a system-based advocate may have to be disclosed to law enforcement, prosecutors, and eventually the accused and their lawyer.
- Community-based advocates are generally not directly linked to a government actor, and therefore not subject to *Brady*/Discovery Obligations; this means that they can hold more things confidential, and depending on local law, may also be bound by privilege (which is an even stronger privacy protection than confidentiality).

#### Discussion

It is imperative that an advocate understands and communicates clearly—at the first encounter or earliest possible contact—whether one is a community-based or system-based advocate, the advocate's legal and ethical obligations with regard to privacy, confidentiality and privilege and the scope of the services that the advocate offers.<sup>1</sup> This information will assist the victim in understanding the role of the advocate and any limitations of that role regarding: (1) the services that the advocate can provide and (2) the privacy protections that exist regarding information shared with the advocate. Further, providing a clear explanation of the advocate's role to the victim will help the victim make informed decisions, build rapport and avoid misunderstandings.

While both system-based and community-based advocates serve victims and operate under a general ethical rule of confidentiality, there are significant differences between them. System-based advocates are typically employed by a law enforcement agency, office of the prosecuting attorney, corrections or another entity within the city, county, state or federal government. Titles for system-based advocates vary; for example, they can be called victim advocates, victim-witness coordinators or victim assistance personnel.<sup>2</sup> Because system-based advocates are typically a component of a government agency or program, a primary focus of their work is assisting victims in their interactions with the system, and they will

typically be able to provide services to the victims during the pendency of the investigation, prosecution and post-conviction legal aspects of a case. In addition, this placement as part of a government agency or program generally means that system-based advocates are subject to the *Brady* disclosure obligations (*see Brady v. Maryland* Section below for additional information) and generally, their communications with victims are not protected by privilege. For information about the legal, ethical and professional obligations of law enforcement-associated victim service providers related to their status as licensed social workers or licensed clinical social workers, *see Nat'l Crime Victim Law Inst., Considerations When Analyzing the Legal, Ethical and Professional Obligations of Law Enforcement-Employed Victim Advocates with Social Work/Clinical Social Work Licenses*, 2023, <https://ncvli.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/Considerations-Regarding-the-Obligations-of-Law-Enforcement-Employed-Victim-Advocates-with-Social-Work-Licenses-2022.pdf>.

By contrast, community-based advocates are generally not directly linked to any government actor or agency. As such, they are not subject to *Brady*; generally, can assist victims even if a crime has not been reported; can assist before, during and after a criminal case; can provide holistic services aimed at victims' broad needs; and, depending on the jurisdiction's laws and funding source, can maintain privileged communications with victims.<sup>3</sup>

Because each type of advocate has different duties and protections that they can offer victims, knowledge of and partnerships between them is an integral part of facilitating meaningful victim choice and helping victims access holistic services.

### **What are privacy, confidentiality and privilege? Why do the differences matter?**

#### **Key Takeaways**

- Privacy is the broad right that allows one to control the sharing of personal information.
- Many jurisdictions have state constitutional and statutory protections for affording victims the right to privacy, including explicit rights to privacy and the broader stated rights to be treated with fairness, dignity and respect. A federal Constitutional right to privacy also exists.
- Confidentiality is a form of privacy protection; it is the legal and ethical duty to keep private the victim-client's information that was learned in confidence. The duty of confidentiality is found in laws and regulations that govern particular professions (e.g., community-based advocates and licensed mental health professionals) as well as certain types of information (e.g., health and educational records). In addition, certain funding sources (such as VOCA and VAWA) contain confidentiality requirements that govern anyone receiving the funds.
- Courts have the authority to require disclosure of a victim's confidential information when certain conditions are met. Circumstances that may compel disclosure of

victims' otherwise confidential information include if the information is shared with a mandatory reporter and in the case of system-based advocates, if the information falls within the state's required disclosures to defendant pursuant to *Brady/Discovery Obligations*.

- Privilege is another privacy protection and is stronger than confidentiality. Privileges are defined by statute and rule and protect communications between victims and certain people, such as doctors, psychotherapists/counselors, attorneys and in some jurisdictions, victim advocates. Key terms in the law may be defined in a way to limit the privilege. For example, among those jurisdictions that recognize an advocate-victim privilege, the term "advocate" is often narrow (e.g., only sexual assault advocates). Disclosure of privileged communications is prohibited unless the victim consents.
- Because privacy is so critical to victims it is important to understand what level of privacy protection can be afforded to a victim with whom one works and to communicate that BEFORE the victim shares any information.

## Discussion

### Privacy

*"Privacy" is a fundamental right, essential to victim agency, autonomy and dignity, which—among other things—permits boundaries that limit who has access to our communications and information.*

Privacy can be understood as the ability to control the sharing of personal information. *See Commonwealth ex rel. Platt v. Platt*, 404 A.2d 410, 429 (Pa. Super. Ct. 1979) ("The essence of privacy is no more, and certainly no less, than the freedom of the individual to pick and choose for [themselves] the time and circumstances under which, and most importantly, the extent to which, his attitudes, beliefs, and behavior and opinions are to be shared with or withheld from others."). For many crime victims, maintaining privacy in their personal information and communications is vitally important. In fact, maintaining privacy is so important that some victims refrain from accessing critical legal, medical or counseling services without an assurance that treatment professionals will protect their personal information from disclosure. Understanding this and wishing as a matter of public policy to encourage access to services when needed, federal and state legislatures and professional licensing bodies have created frameworks of laws and regulations that help protect the information victims share with professionals from further dissemination. To this end, every jurisdiction has adopted statutory or constitutional victims' rights; some jurisdictions explicitly protect victims' rights to privacy, or to be treated with dignity, respect or fairness.<sup>4</sup> Victims also have a federal Constitutional right to privacy.<sup>5</sup>

In addition to the broad rights to privacy that exist, privacy protections generally come in two forms: "confidentiality" and "privilege." Professionals who work with victims should understand each concept.

### Confidentiality

*“Confidentiality” is a legal and ethical duty not to disclose the victim-client’s information learned in confidence.*

As part of accessing services, victims frequently share highly sensitive personal information with professionals. A victim’s willingness to share this information may be premised on the professionals’ promise to not disclose it. The promise to hold in confidence the victim’s information is governed by the professional’s ethical duties, regulatory framework and/or by other various laws. Breaking the promise may carry sanctions. The promise not to disclose information that is shared in confidence—as well as the legal framework that recognizes this promise—are what qualifies this information as “confidential.”

Key aspects of confidential communications are that: (1) they are made with the expectation of privacy; (2) they are not accessible to the general public; (3) there may or may not be legal requirements that the recipient keep the information private; and (4) there may be a professional/ethical obligation to keep the information private.

Professional confidentiality obligations may be imposed by one’s profession, e.g., advocate ethics; social worker ethics; attorney ethics; medical provider ethics; and mental health counselor ethics. In addition, certain laws may have confidentiality provisions that are tied to funding. If an entity receives such funds, then it is bound by confidentiality or risks losing funding. Examples of laws that impose confidentiality requirements include the: (1) Victims of Crime Act (VOCA), 28 C.F.R. § 94.115; (2) Violence Against Women Act (VAWA), 34 U.S.C. § 12291(b)(2)(A)–(B); and (3) Family Violence Prevention and Services Act (FVPSA), 42 U.S.C. § 10406 (c)(5)(B). For example, VAWA (Section 3), VOCA and FVPSA regulations prohibit sharing personally identifying information about victims without informed, written and reasonably time-limited consent. VAWA and VOCA also prohibit disclosure of individual information without written consent. In addition, depending on the types of victim information at issue, other statutes may impose additional restrictions, including the Federal Educational Rights & Privacy Act (FERPA), 20 U.S.C. § 1232g (protections governing the handling of education records); the Health Insurance Portability & Accountability Act (HIPAA), 42 U.S.C. § 1320d et seq. (protections governing the handling of health records); and the Stored Communications Act (SCA), 18 U.S.C. § 2701 et seq. (protections governing electronic communications and transactions records).

When providing services, professionals should discuss with victims the consequences of sharing information before information is shared. These consequences may include the: (1) inability to “take back” a disclosure; (2) lack of control over the information once released; and (3) risk of the accused accessing the information. In addition, even when laws appear to prohibit disclosure, there are often exceptions that require disclosure, for instance in response to court orders or valid subpoenas. These limits should be explained to a victim. For example, a court may make a determination that an accused’s interests outweigh the confidentiality protection afforded by a law and order the professional to disclose the

victim's private information. Although a victim can be assured that a professional may not ethically disclose her confidential information unless legally required to do so, it is important that a victim understand that courts have the authority to require a professional to break the promise of confidentiality when certain conditions are met. Other circumstances that may compel disclosure of victims' otherwise confidential information include if the information is shared with a mandatory reporter of elder or child abuse and if the information falls within the state's required disclosures to defendant pursuant to the United States Supreme Court case *Brady v. Maryland*.

Thus, although the basic rule of confidentiality is that a victim's information is not shared outside an agency unless the victim gives permission to do so, it is important to inform victims before they share information whether, when and under what circumstances information may be further disclosed.

### Privilege

*"Privilege" is a legal right of the victim not to disclose—or to prevent the disclosure of—certain information in connection with court and other proceedings.*

Legislatures throughout the country have recognized that the effective practice of some professions requires even stronger legal protection of confidential communications between the professional and client. This recognition has resulted in the passage of laws that prevent courts from forcing these professionals to break the promise of confidentiality no matter how relevant the information is to the issues in the legal proceeding. This additional protection is a "privilege"—a legal right not to disclose certain information, even in the face of a valid subpoena.<sup>6</sup> Key aspects of privileged communications are that: (1) they are specially protected, often by statute; (2) disclosure without permission of the privilege holder (*i.e.*, the victim) is prohibited; (3) they are protected from disclosure in court or other proceedings; (4) the protections may be waived only by the holder of the privilege (*i.e.*, the victim); and (5) some exceptions may apply. Examples of communications that may be protected by privilege depending on jurisdiction include: (1) spousal; (2) attorney-client; (3) clergy-penitent; (4) psychotherapist/counselor-patient; (5) doctor-patient; and (6) advocate-victim. Jurisdictions that recognize a given privilege may narrowly define terms, thereby limiting its applications. For example, among the jurisdictions that recognize an advocate-victim privilege, many define the term "advocate" to exclude those who are system-based (*i.e.*, affiliated with a law-enforcement agency or a prosecutor's office).<sup>7</sup>

### Understanding the Differences

Because maintaining a victim's control over whether and how to disclose personal information is so important and because community-based and system-based advocates can offer different levels of protection regarding communications, every professional must know whether their communications with a victim are confidential or privileged, as well as how courts have interpreted the scope of each protection. This information should be shared with victims in advance of information disclosure. To do otherwise may provide victim-



clients with a false sense of security regarding their privacy and inflict further harm if their personal information is unexpectedly disclosed.

**What are HIPAA, FERPA, VOCA, VAWA and FOIA, and why are these relevant to my work as an advocate?<sup>8</sup>**

### **Key Takeaways**

- Federal and many state laws protect certain types of information from disclosure. These laws generally cover medical, therapy and other behavioral health records, educational records and certain advocacy records.
- HIPAA—the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act—requires the protection and confidential handling of protected health information (PHI). This is important because although it permits release of PHI in response to a valid court order, no such release may be made in response to a subpoena or other request except under very specific circumstances.
- FERPA—the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act—protects the privacy of student education records, as well as any personally identifiable information in those records. Although the Department of Education provides that law enforcement records are not education records, personally identifiable information collected from education records and shared with law enforcement remain protected from disclosure.
- Victim assistance programs that receive funding under either VOCA (the Victims of Crime Act of 1984) or VAWA (the Violence Against Women Act) are mandated to protect crime victims' confidentiality and privacy subject to limited exceptions, such as mandatory reporting or statutory or court mandates. Even if disclosure of individual client information is required by statute or court order, recipients of VOCA or VAWA funding must provide notice to victims affected by any required disclosure of their information, and take steps to protect the privacy and safety of the victims.
- Open records' laws—also commonly referred to as public records' laws or sunshine laws—permit any person to request government documents and, if the government refuses to turn them over, to file a lawsuit to compel disclosure. Every state and the federal government have such laws (the federal law is known as FOIA, the Freedom of Information Act), which carry a presumption of disclosure. That means that all government records are presumed open for public inspection unless an exemption applies. Many exemptions from disclosure exist, including for some types of law enforcement records. All advocates should understand their jurisdiction's open records' laws, especially as they relate to exemptions that may apply to law enforcement and other victim-related records.

### **Discussion**

**HIPAA:** Federal law—as well as state law in many jurisdictions—provides crime victims



with different forms of protections from disclosure of their personal and confidential information. This includes protections against the disclosure of medical and/or therapy and other behavioral health records without the victim’s consent. HIPAA—codified at 42 U.S.C. § 1320d et seq. and 45 C.F.R. § 164.500 et seq.—is the acronym for the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act, a federal law passed in 1996. HIPAA does a variety of things, but most relevantly, it requires the protection and confidential handling of protected health information (PHI). This is important because although it permits release of PHI in response to a valid court order, no such release may be made in response to a subpoena or other request unless one of the following circumstances is met:

1. The entity must receive “satisfactory assurance” from “the party seeking the information that reasonable efforts have been made by such party to ensure that the individual who is the subject of the protected health information that has been requested has been given notice of the request[,]” 45 C.F.R. § 164.512(e)(1)(ii)(A).  
-or-
2. The entity must receive “satisfactory assurance” from the “party seeking the information that reasonable efforts have been made by such party to secure a qualified protective order” that meets certain requirements, detailed in subsection (iv), 45 C.F.R. § 164.512(e)(1)(ii)(B).

Advocates may wish to inform victims that they may proactively contact their medical providers, informing them that the victims are asserting privilege and other legal protections in their records, and requesting that these providers: (1) give them prompt notice of any request for the victims’ medical records; (2) refuse to disclose the records pursuant to any such request without first receiving a valid court order; and (3) ensure that no medical records are released without first permitting the victims to file a challenge to their release. Advocates who work for or with community-based organizations—including organizations that provide general mental health services as well as those that serve domestic violence or sexual assault victims—should advise victims about the possibility of asserting HIPAA protections if facing a request for their records.

**FERPA:** The Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA)—codified at 20 U.S.C. § 1232g—“is a federal law that protects the privacy of student education records, and the [personally identifiable information] contained therein, maintained by educational agencies or institutions or by a party acting for the agencies or institutions.”<sup>9</sup> FERPA applies to those agencies and institutions that receive funding under any U.S. Department of Education program.<sup>10</sup> “Private schools at the elementary and secondary levels generally do not receive funds from the Department [of Education] and are, therefore, not subject to FERPA, but may be subject to other data privacy laws such as HIPAA.”<sup>11</sup>

Protections afforded by FERPA include the right of parents or eligible students to provide a signed and dated, written consent that clearly identifies which education records or personally identifiable information may be disclosed by the educational agency or institution; the person who may receive such records or information; and the purpose for the disclosure prior to disclosure of an education record or personally identifiable

information, except in limited circumstances such as health or safety emergencies.<sup>12</sup>

Notably, while the Department of Education provides that law enforcement records are not education records, “personally identifiable information [collected] from education records, which the school shares with the law enforcement unit, do not lose their protected status as education records just because they are shared with the law enforcement unit.”<sup>13</sup> Thus, law enforcement has a duty to understand and comply with FERPA when drafting police reports, supplemental reports and, generally, sharing or relaying information.

It is important that advocates have an understanding of FERPA as well as other federal laws, state laws and local policies that address student privacy in education records as eligible students or parents may be afforded privacy protections in addition to FERPA. For example, “the education records of students who are children with disabilities are not only protected by FERPA but also by the confidentiality of information provisions in the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA).”<sup>14</sup>

VOCA and VAWA: The Victims of Crime Act of 1984 (VOCA)—codified at 34 U.S.C. §§ 20101 to 20111—established the Crime Victims Fund (the Fund), which is managed by the Office for Victims of Crime, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice. The Fund is financed by, *inter alia*, fines and penalties from persons convicted of crimes against the United States as opposed to by tax dollars.<sup>15</sup> The Fund supports victim assistance programs that offer direct victim services and crime victim compensation.<sup>16</sup> Examples of direct services are crisis intervention, emergency shelters or transportation, counseling and criminal justice advocacy; and crime victim compensation programs that cover expenses incurred as a result of the crime.<sup>17</sup>

The Violence Against Women Act (VAWA)—enacted in 1994 and reauthorized in 2000, 2005 and 2013—created an array of federal protections for victims of crimes, including domestic violence, sexual assault and stalking. Additionally, VAWA provided funding for services and programs to combat violent crimes against women. VAWA funds are administrated by the Office on Violence Against Women (OVW), U.S. Department of Justice.

Agencies that receive VOCA or VAWA funding are mandated to protect crime victims’ confidentiality and privacy subject to limited exceptions, such as mandatory reporting or statutory or court mandates. Specifically, state administering agencies and subrecipients of VOCA funding, are mandated “to the extent permitted by law, [to] reasonably protect the confidentiality and privacy of [victims] receiving services . . . and shall not disclose, reveal, or release, except . . . [in limited circumstances:] (1) [a]ny personally identifying information or individual information collected in connection with VOCA-funded services requested, utilized, or denied, regardless of whether such information has been encoded, encrypted, hashed, or otherwise protected; or (2) [i]ndividual client information, without the informed, written, reasonably time-limited consent of the person about whom information is sought . . . .” 28 C.F.R. § 94.115(a)(1)–(2). Agencies that receive VAWA funding are subject to nearly identical duties to protect crime victims’ confidentiality and

privacy subject to limited exceptions. *See* 34 U.S.C. § 12291(b)(2).

Even if disclosure of individual client information is required by statute or court order, state administering agencies and sub-recipients' privacy and confidentiality obligations owed to crime victims do not disappear. State administering agencies and subrecipients of VOCA funds "shall make reasonable attempts to provide notice to victims affected by the disclosure of the information, and take reasonable steps necessary to protect the privacy and safety of the persons affected by the release of the information." 28 C.F.R. § 94.115(b). VAWA imposes similar requirements on recipients of funding. *See* 34 U.S.C. § 12291(b)(2)(C) ("If release of information . . . is compelled by statutory or court mandate[,] . . . grantees and subgrantees shall make reasonable attempts to provide notice to victims affected by the disclosure of information[] and . . . shall take steps necessary to protect the privacy and safety of the persons affected by the release of the information."). VOCA also mandates that none of the protections afforded to victims be circumvented. For example, a crime victim may neither be required to release personally identifying information in exchange for services nor be required to provide personally identifying information for recording or reporting purposes. 28 C.F.R. § 94.115(d).

It is important that advocates are aware if their positions and/or offices are subject to VOCA's and VAWA's mandates regarding victims' confidentiality and privacy protections and if so, understand how these mandates interact with disclosure obligations.

FOIA: Open records' laws—also commonly referred to as public records' laws or sunshine laws—permit any person to request government documents and, if the government refuses to turn them over, to file a lawsuit to compel disclosure. Every state and the federal government have such laws, which carry a presumption of disclosure, meaning that all government records are presumed open for public inspection unless an exemption applies.

The federal open records' law, known as the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA or the "Act"), 5 U.S.C. §552, was enacted in 1966. Similar to its state counterparts, FOIA provides for the legally enforceable right of any person to obtain access to federal agency records subject to the Act, except to the extent that any portions of such records are protected from public disclosure by one of the nine exemptions. Three such exemptions, Exemptions 6, 7(C) and 7(F) protect different types of personal information in federal records from disclosure. Exemption 6 "protects information about individuals in 'personnel and medical files and similar files' when the disclosure of such information 'would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.'"<sup>18</sup> Exemption 7(C) "is limited to information compiled for law enforcement purposes, and protects personal information when disclosure 'could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.'"<sup>19</sup> Under both exemptions, "the concept of privacy not only encompasses that which is inherently private, but also includes an 'individual's control of information concerning [his/her/their] person.'"<sup>20</sup> Exemption 7(F), which also applies to law enforcement records, exempts records that contain information that "could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of any individual."<sup>21</sup>

Similar to FOIA, state open records' laws contain numerous exemptions, including for some types of law enforcement records (for example, prohibitions on disclosing identifying information of victims' and witnesses' generally or of child-victims and/or victims of certain crimes). Advocates should have an understanding of their jurisdiction's open records' laws, especially as they relate to exemptions from disclosure that may be afforded to law enforcement and other victim-related records within their office's possession. Jurisdiction-specific victims' rights laws—including rights to privacy and protection—also provide grounds for challenging public records' requests for victims' private information.

### **Are there ethical standards relevant to my work as an advocate?**

#### **Key Takeaways**

- Advocates should know what ethical standards apply to their work with victims.
- Law enforcement agencies should develop a code of ethics specific to victim services personnel or, at a minimum, expand the scope of existing codes of ethics to include them.

#### **Discussion**

Yes, there are ethical standards—or “principles of conduct”—that guide victim advocates in their work.<sup>22</sup> Although there is no formal regulatory board that oversees victim assistance programs, the *Model Standards for Serving Victims & Survivors of Crime (Model Standards)* was created by the National Victim Assistance Standards Consortium with guidance from experts across the nation “to promote the competency and ethical integrity of victim service providers, in order to enhance their capacity to provide high-quality, consistent responses to crime victims and to meet the demands facing the field today.”<sup>23</sup>

The *Model Standards* cover three areas: (1) Program Standards for Serving Victims & Survivors of Crime; (2) Competency Standards for Serving Victims & Survivors of Crime; and (3) Ethical Standards for Serving Victims & Survivors of Crime.

The third area—Ethical Standards for Serving Victims & Survivors of Crime—contains “ethical expectations” of victim service providers that are “based on core values” in the field and are intended to serve as guidelines for providers in the course of their work. The Ethical Standards are comprised of five sections:

- (1) Scope of Services;
- (2) Coordinating within the Community;
- (3) Direct Services;
- (4) Privacy, Confidentiality, Data Security and Assistive Technology; and
- (5) Administration and Evaluation.<sup>24</sup>

Notably, “[p]rofessionals who are trained in another field (*e.g.*, psychology, social work) but are engaging in victim services will [also] abide by their own professional codes of ethics. If th[ose] ethical standards establish a higher standard of conduct than is required

by law or another professional ethic, victim assistance providers should meet the higher ethical standard. If ethical standards appear to conflict with the requirements of law or another professional ethic, providers should take steps to resolve the conflict in a responsible manner.”<sup>25</sup>

Many law enforcement agencies have established their own code of ethics. Often, these codes of ethics are developed to guide the behavior of sworn personnel and may not encompass the role of victim services. Agencies are encouraged to develop a code of ethics specific to victim services personnel or, at a minimum, expand the scope of existing codes of ethics to include them.<sup>26</sup>

**What is the difference between discovery and production and how does this relate to the Supreme Court’s decision in *Brady v. Maryland*?**

**Key Takeaways**

- In a criminal case, the term “discovery” refers to the exchange of information between parties to the case—the prosecutor and defendant. The term “production” refers to the defendant’s more limited right to obtain information from nonparties, such as victims. Sometimes the term “discovery” is used to describe the parties’ requests for information and records from nonparties, but this is an imprecise use of the word as it confuses the two ideas.
- In *Brady v. Maryland* the United States Supreme Court announced a rule, and state laws have adopted it also, that impose on the prosecutor’s office—and by extension on other governmental agencies such as law enforcement—legal obligations to disclose information to the accused and their lawyer even if they do not ask for it. These obligations are sometimes called *Brady* Obligations or Discovery Obligations.
- Pursuant to these obligations, the prosecutor is only constitutionally required to disclose information that is exculpatory and material to the issue of guilt, and which is within the custody or control of the prosecutor.
- Beyond that material to which a defendant is constitutionally entitled under *Brady*, state statute or procedural rule may entitle a criminal defendant to additional discovery materials.
- If records are not properly in the possession or control of the prosecutor, a defendant can only try to obtain them through their more limited right of production by seeking a subpoena pursuant to the jurisdiction’s statutes and rules governing production of documents from a nonparty.
- Federal and state courts have found that prosecution-based victim advocates are part of the “prosecution team” for *Brady* purposes. Therefore, *Brady*/Discovery Obligations generally attach to system-based advocates, and these obligations can override an advocate’s ability to keep something confidential. That means anything shared with a system-based advocate may have to be disclosed to the accused and their lawyer.

- Victims should be informed at the outset that disclosure requirements—imposed by *Brady* as well as a jurisdiction’s statutes and rules governing discovery—may impact victim privacy.

### Discussion

*The Supreme Court case Brady v. Maryland, as well as jurisdiction-specific statutes and court rules, impose discovery and disclosure obligations on the prosecution and defendant—not on the victim.*

In criminal cases, victim privacy is routinely at risk by parties seeking personal records, such as counseling, mental health, medical, employment, educational and child protective services records. The law governing when these records must be disclosed to a defendant is complex, touching on a number of factors, including whether the records are within the government’s control; whether they are protected by a privilege; whether any applicable privilege is absolute or qualified; whether a victim has waived any privilege in full or in part; the scope of the jurisdiction’s constitutional or statutory rights and/or protections for victims; and the jurisdiction’s statutes and rules governing discovery and production. If the records sought are properly in the possession or control of the prosecutor, a defendant may be entitled to them, pursuant to constitutional, statutory or rule-based rights to discovery. If, however, the records are not in the possession (or properly in the possession) of the prosecutor, a defendant must subpoena those records pursuant to the jurisdiction’s statutes and rules governing production of documents from a nonparty. Although courts and practitioners sometimes refer to defendant’s receipt of materials from both the prosecutor and nonparties as “discovery,” this imprecise use of the term confuses a defendant’s right to discovery from the prosecutor with a defendant’s right to production from a nonparty.

In a criminal prosecution, the term “discovery” refers to the exchange of information between parties to the case—the prosecutor and defendant. *See, e.g.,* Fed R. Crim. P. 16 (entitled “Discovery and Inspection,” the rule explicitly and exclusively governs discovery between the government and defendant). It does not govern defendant’s ability to obtain information directly from a crime victim or other nonparty. With regard to discovery from the prosecutor, a criminal defendant has no general federal constitutional right to discovery.<sup>27</sup> The prosecutor, instead, is only constitutionally required to disclose information that is exculpatory and material to the issue of guilt, *see Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83, 87–88 (1963), and which is within the custody or control of the prosecutor.<sup>28</sup> The *Brady* rule imposes an affirmative “duty to disclose such evidence . . . even [when] there has been no request [for the evidence] by the accused, . . . and . . . the duty encompasses impeachment evidence as well as exculpatory evidence.”<sup>29</sup> The prosecutor’s *Brady* obligation extends to all exculpatory material and impeachment evidence and to “others acting on the government’s behalf in th[e] case, including the police.”<sup>30</sup>

Federal and state courts have found that prosecution-based victim advocates are considered part of the “prosecution team” for *Brady* purposes.<sup>31</sup> Beyond that material to which a defendant is constitutionally entitled, a prosecutor’s obligation to disclose information is



governed by statute or procedural rule. A criminal defendant is often entitled to additional discovery materials from the prosecutor pursuant to statutes or rules, though discovery statutes and rules vary widely between jurisdictions.<sup>32</sup> For more information about the *Brady* rule, including the legal background of the rule and considerations for assessing its application to information in the possession of law enforcement-associated victim service providers, see Nat'l Crime Victim Law Inst., *Law Enforcement-Associated Victim Service Providers and The Brady Rule: Legal Background and Considerations*, 2023, <https://ncvli.org/law-enforcement-associated-victim-service-providers-and-the-brady-rule/>.

*Victims should be informed that disclosure requirements—imposed by Brady as well as a jurisdiction's statutes and rules governing discovery—may impact victim privacy.*

Prosecutors are required by law to disclose exculpatory statements to the defense. Because system-based advocates are generally considered agents of the prosecutors, and prosecutors are deemed to know what advocates know, such advocates are generally required to disclose to the prosecutors the exculpatory statements made by victims to advocates.<sup>33</sup> Examples of exculpatory statements might include:

- “I lied to the police.”
- “I hit him first and he was defending himself.”
- “The crime didn't happen.”
- “The defendant is not really the person who assaulted me.”
- *Any other statement from a victim that directly implicates a victim's truthfulness regarding the crime.*
- *Any other statement from the victim that provides information that could be helpful to a defendant's case.*

Important steps that victim advocates may take to help ensure that their office has appropriate policies and procedures in place to protect victims in light of required disclosures to prosecutors' offices include:

- Ensure that every person clearly understands the prosecutor's interpretation and expectations regarding discovery and exculpatory evidence with regard to victim advocates.
- Work with the prosecutors' offices to create a policy/practice that addresses the limits of system-based advocate confidentiality.
- Inform victims prior to sharing of information if the victim advocate is bound by the rules that govern prosecutors.
- Develop a short, simple explanation to use with victims to communicate your responsibilities (e.g., don't use the word “exculpatory”).
- Consider including a simple statement in the initial contact letter or notice explaining limitations.
- Determine how and when advocates will remind victims of the limits of confidentiality throughout the process.
- Identify what documentation an advocate might come into contact with and whether



the prosecutors' office considers it discoverable. For example: (1) victim compensation forms; (2) victim impact statements; (3) restitution documentation; and (4) U-Visa application documentation.

- Create policies regarding the types of documentation that an advocate may not need from the victim in order to provide effective victim advocacy (e.g., victim statements, treatment plans, safety plans, opinions, conclusions, criticisms). Determine a process for clearly marking documents that are not discoverable to ensure they are not inadvertently disclosed. For example, use a red stamp that says, “Not Discoverable.”
- Inform the victim at the time they make a disclosure that constitutes exculpatory evidence—or soon as a statement is deemed exculpatory—that it is going to be disclosed.
- When possible, avoid receiving a victim impact statement in writing prior to sentencing.
- Develop relationships with complementary victim advocates and communicate about your obligations and boundaries regarding exculpatory evidence. This will allow everyone to help set realistic expectations with victims regarding privacy.
- Establish how exculpatory information will be communicated to the prosecutor's office.<sup>34</sup>

### What is *Giglio*, and why is it relevant to my work as an advocate?

#### Key Takeaways

- The United States Supreme Court (in *Giglio v. United States*) clarified the affirmative responsibility of the prosecutor's office to disclose to the defendant any information in its possession that is material to their guilt or innocence. This means that the prosecution does not wait for a defendant to ask for material but must disclose it even without them asking.

#### Discussion

*Giglio v. United States*, 405 U.S. 150 (1972), is a case that was heard before the United States Supreme Court.<sup>35</sup> The impact of the Court's decision in *Giglio* intersects with advocates' work as it makes it imperative that advocates understand: (1) what “material evidence” is (see *Brady v. Maryland* section for additional information); (2) how the advocate's role is or is not related to the prosecutor's office along with any corresponding professional, ethical obligations; (3) ways to avoid re-victimization by preventing violations that would cause a victim to undergo a second trial for the same crime; (4) the types of procedures and regulations that need to be implemented for advocates to ensure—in the face of prosecutor or advocate turnover—that all relevant and appropriate information is provided to the prosecutor handling the case; and (5) whether state or other local laws impose additional obligations that build on those prescribed by *Giglio*.

**What are key considerations for system-based advocates who receive a subpoena?<sup>36</sup>****Key Takeaways**

- Advocates may receive subpoenas to appear before the court or elsewhere to provide a sworn statement and/or to appear with specified documents.
- Victims should be informed immediately if advocates receive a subpoena for the information or documents related to a victim's case.
- There may be grounds to challenge a subpoena issued to a system-based or community-based advocate. These challenges can be made by the prosecutor, the community agency and/or the victims (either with or without the help of an attorney).

**Discussion**

In addition to providing prompt notice of receipt of a subpoena to the victim—whose rights and interests are implicated—a key consideration for system-based advocates, their superiors and the attorneys with whom they work is determining the type of subpoena received.<sup>37</sup> Subpoenas that system-based advocates often encounter are subpoenas demanding either: (a) a person's presence before a court or to a location other than a court for a sworn statement; or (b) a person's presence along with specified documentation, records or other tangible items.<sup>38</sup>

When system-based advocates receive the latter (which is called a subpoena duces tecum) there are a number of factors that should be considered, such as whether the documentation, record or item sought (a) is discoverable; or (b) constitutes *Brady* material, as defined by federal, state and local law. If an item, for example, is neither discoverable nor *Brady* material, an advocate, by law, may not be required to disclose the item. The same may be true if the item falls within an exception to discovery and does not constitute *Brady* material.<sup>39</sup> For additional information on *Brady* material, see the *Brady v. Maryland* section pertaining to disclosure obligations. Notably, this analysis is relevant to other types of subpoenas as well. For example, if a person is subpoenaed to testify and it is anticipated that defense counsel will attempt to elicit testimony that he/she/they are not legally entitled to, a prosecutor may file a motion in advance—such as a motion in limine or a motion for a protective order—requesting that the scope of the testimony be narrowly tailored or otherwise limited in accordance with the jurisdiction's laws. For advocates employed by prosecutor's offices, this analysis must be completed in cooperation with the prosecuting attorney.

Other key considerations for system-based advocates, their superiors and the attorneys they work with include determining: whether the requester has a right to issue a subpoena, and, more specifically, a right to issue a subpoena for the person's attendance and/or items sought; whether the subpoena is unspecified, vague or overbroad to warrant an objection that the subpoena is facially invalid or procedurally flawed; whether court mechanisms are

available to oppose the subpoena; whether such mechanisms are time sensitive and require immediate action; whether the victim received ample notice and adequate information; what the victim's position is; and whether the law affords the victim privacy, confidentiality or privilege rights or protections that must be protected and enforced.

## SELECT LAWS

## SELECT PRIVACY LAWS

### **What are key privacy rights and/or protections in Alabama?**

Victims of crime in Alabama have a right to privacy in myriad areas of the law. For example, Alabama law limits the type of personal victim information that is accessible to the public from a criminal court file. In particular, victims' residential and employment addresses, telephone numbers and any "other related information about the victim contained in the court file shall not be public record." Ala. Code § 15-23-69(b). This information is also protected in the context of a victim's petition for a protective order under the Protection from Abuse Act. *See* Ala. Code § 30-5-5(f)(1) (stating that, in the context of a petition for domestic violence protective orders, certain victim contact and locating information, including the location of a domestic violence shelter, may not be included in any court document made available to the public or the defendant by the clerk's office); *see also* Ala. Code § 30-5-5(f)(2) (stating that, in the context of a petition of a domestic violence protective order, when disclosure of a victim's address or the location of a domestic violence shelter is necessary to determine jurisdiction or to consider a venue issue, such disclosure of confidential information must be made orally and *in camera*).

This right to privacy concerning victims' personal information extends to a victim's testimony at a pre-trial proceeding or trial, as well as to the testimony of others at such proceedings, when the victim fears reprisal by or on behalf of a defendant and the prosecutor petitions the court based on such fears. *See* Ala. Code § 15-23-69(a) ("Based upon the reasonable apprehension of the victim of acts or threats of physical violence or intimidation by the defendant, the family of the defendant, or by anyone at the direction of the defendant, against the victim or the immediate family of the victim, the prosecutor may petition the court to direct that the victim or any other witness not be compelled to testify during pre-trial proceedings or at any trial, facts that could divulge the identity, residence, or place of employment of the victim, or other related information without consent of the victim unless necessary to the prosecution of the criminal proceeding."). Any hearing that the court schedules a hearing on the merits of such a petition must be held *in camera*. *Id.*

Alabama law protects victims' privacy in other settings related to victim testimony. For example, when depositions and recorded depositions are taken in lieu of a victim's live

testimony due to a victim's unavailability or potential unavailability, the court may issue a protective order "for the purpose of protecting the privacy of the victim . . . until presented as evidence at trial." Ala. Code § 12-21-264(f). Any videotaped depositions of child victims under Ala. Code § 15-25-2 are, likewise, "subject to any protective order of the court for the purpose of protecting the privacy of the victim of the offense." Ala. Code § 15-25-2(h).

Alabama provides additional privacy-related protections to child sex abuse or exploitation victims. For example, the court records of such victims "shall not be open to the public, but shall be kept in the same manner as juvenile offender records are kept." Ala. Code § 15-1-2(b). Additionally, state law authorizes courts to limit the number of law enforcement interviews to which such victims may be subjected. Ala. Code § 15-1-2(a).

Alabama also protects the privacy interests of certain victims through its rape shield law, Ala. R. Evid. Rule 412, which prohibits, in sex offense cases, the introduction of evidence regarding a victim's sexual behavior or predisposition, except in limited circumstances.

Alabama further provides statutory mechanisms to protect victims' right to privacy pretrial, including as part of the criminal discovery process. For example, victims have the right to refuse defense interview requests. Ala. Code § 15-23-70. Additionally, under Alabama's criminal discovery rules, upon the requisite showing, courts may order that "discovery, examination, or inspection permitted by this rule be denied, restricted, or deferred, or make such other order as is appropriate." Ala. R. Crim. P. Rule 16.4(a).

Additionally, Alabama protects victim privacy through certain safety-related rights. For example, Alabama courts have a statutory obligation to "provide a waiting area for the victim separate from the defendant, relatives of the defendant, and defense witnesses, if an area is available and the use of the area is practical. If a separate waiting area is not available, or its use impractical, the court shall minimize contact of the victim with the defendant, relatives of the defendant, and defense witnesses during court proceedings." Ala. Code. § 15-23-68. In cases involving victims of domestic violence, "if a separate waiting area is not available, the presiding circuit judge shall create procedures so that the defendant has no contact with the victim." *Id.*

In addition to the above-discussed provisions that are directly related to victims' privacy rights, Alabama crime victims have myriad constitutional and statutory-based rights and protections that contemplate or necessitate victims' privacy protection. *See, e.g.*, Ala. Const. art I, § 6.01(a) (guaranteeing crime victims "the right to be informed, to be present, and to be heard when authorized, at all crucial stages of criminal proceedings, to the extent that these rights do not interfere with the constitutional rights of the . . . accused"); Ala. Code. § 15-23-70 (granting victims the right to refuse defendants' requests for interviews and any other form of communication); Ala. Code. 15-23-82 (authorizing the clerk of court to accept restitution payments from defendants and on behalf of victims).

The section “Select Confidentiality Laws” also details how Alabama protects victims’ privacy interests in the context of public records requests.

## SELECT CONFIDENTIALITY LAWS

### What are key confidentiality rights and/or protections in Alabama?

Victims in Alabama have a number of rights and protections that they can assert to prevent disclosure of their confidential information and communications, barring certain exceptions and waivers. For example, Alabama law mandates that domestic violence victims’ identifying information and emergency shelter locations be treated as confidential and not subject to inspection under the state’s open records law. *See* Ala. Code § 30-6-8 (“Information identifying individuals or facilities received by the office, the circuit, any district attorney or his or her employees, the director, or by authorized persons employed by or volunteering services to a domestic violence center, through files, reports, inspection, or otherwise, is confidential and exempt from Section 36-12-40. Information about the location of domestic violence centers and facilities is confidential and exempt from Section 36-12-40.”); *see, e.g.*, Ala. Code § 30-5-5(f) (protecting the confidentiality of victim locating information, in the context of petitions for domestic violence orders of protection).

State law also extends confidentiality protections to other categories of victims. For instance, when the offender of a sex offense is ordered to be tested for a sexually transmitted disease, the sex offense victim has a right to confidentiality in the test results and reports. Ala. Code § 15-23-103(a). Such results are not a public record. Ala. Code § 15-23-102(d).

Additionally, Alabama provides victims with a number of confidentiality protections in the context of public records requests. Under Alabama’s public records law, “every citizen has a right to inspect and take a copy of any public writing of this state, except as otherwise expressly provided by statute.” Ala. Code § 36-12-40. As noted above in this section and in the section “Select Privacy Laws”, Alabama provides express statutory exceptions that guard against disclosure of the following victim records: court records of cases involving child victims of sex abuse or exploitation, Ala. Code § 15-1-2(b); certain identifying victim information contained in court records, Ala. Code § 15-23-69(b); the test results when a sex offender is ordered to be tested for a sexually transmitted disease, Ala. Code § 15-23-102(d); the locating and contact information of a victim seeking a protective order under the Protection from Abuse Act, Ala. Code § 30-5-5(f)(1); domestic violence shelter records containing victims’ identifying and locating information, Ala. Code § 30-6-8.

Victims can also seek rehabilitative treatment in the aftermath of a crime, such as social services or counseling, which is cloaked with confidentiality protections, absent waivers and exceptions. *See, e.g.*, Ala. Code § 15-23-42(a) (providing that victim counselors, generally, “cannot be compelled to give testimony or to produce records concerning confidential communications for any purpose in any criminal proceeding”); Ala. R. Evid.

503A(b) (affording confidentiality protections to counselor-client communications, absent waivers or exceptions). Additional information about these confidentiality protections is provided in the section “Select Privilege Laws.”

## SELECT PRIVILEGE LAWS

### What are key privileges in Alabama?

Victims in Alabama have a number of privileges that they can assert to prevent disclosure of confidential communications, barring certain exceptions and waivers. *See, e.g.*, Ala. Code § 15-23-42 (victim counselor-victim privilege); Ala. R. Evid. 503A (counselor-client privilege); Ala. Code § 30-6-8 (domestic violence advocate-victim privilege); Ala. Code § 34-26-2 (licensed psychologist-, licensed psychiatrist- and licensed psychological technician-client privilege); Ala. R. Evid. 503 (psychotherapist-patient privilege).

There are exceptions to these privileges, such as for the mandatory reporting of child abuse or neglect. *See, e.g.*, Ala. Code § 15-23-45 (providing that the victim-counselor-victim privilege “shall not be construed to relieve victim counselors of any duty to report suspected child abuse or neglect or any evidence that the victim is about to commit a crime”); Ala. Code § 30-6-8 (“A victim or advocate may not claim [the domestic violence advocate-victim] privilege when providing evidence in proceedings concerning child abuse, but may claim this privilege in all other proceedings, both criminal and civil.”).

For reference, the key privileges referenced in this section appear below.

Victim Counselor-Victim Privilege.

Ala. Code § 15-23-42.

(a) A victim, a victim counselor without the consent of the victim, or a minor or incapacitated victim without the consent of a custodial guardian or a guardian ad litem appointed upon application of either party, cannot be compelled to give testimony or to produce records concerning confidential communications for any purpose in any criminal proceeding.

(b) A victim counselor or a victim cannot be compelled to provide testimony in any civil or criminal proceeding that would identify the name, address, location, or telephone number of a safe house, abuse shelter, or other facility that provided temporary emergency shelter to the victim of the offense or transaction that is the subject of the proceeding unless the facility is a party to the proceeding.



	<p>(c) The confidential communication privilege of a victim counselor with respect to communications made between the counselor and the victim shall terminate upon the death of the victim.</p> <p>Ala. Code § 15-23-43.</p> <p>(a) A victim does not waive the protections afforded by this article by testifying in court about the crime.</p> <p>(1) However, if the victim partially discloses the contents of a confidential communication in the course of testifying, then either party may request the court to rule that justice requires the protections of this section be waived, to the extent they apply to that portion of the communication.</p> <p>(2) Any waiver shall apply only to the extent necessary to require any witness to respond to counsel's questions concerning the confidential communication that are relevant to the facts and circumstances of the case.</p> <p>(b) A victim counselor cannot waive the protections afforded to a victim under this section. However, if a victim brings suit against a victim counselor or the agency, business, or organization in which the victim counselor was employed or served as a volunteer at the time of the counseling relationship and the suit alleges malpractice during the counseling relationship, the victim counselor may testify or produce records regarding confidential communications with the victim and is not liable for doing so.</p> <p>Ala. Code § 15-23-44.</p> <p>Nothing in this article shall be construed to limit any other testimonial privilege available to any person under other statutes or rules.</p> <p>Ala. Code § 15-23-45.</p> <p>This article shall not be construed to relieve victim counselors of any duty to report suspected child abuse or neglect or any evidence that the victim is about to commit a crime.</p> <p>Ala. Code § 15-23-46.</p> <p>Nothing in this article shall be construed to permit a victim counselor to administer or prescribe drugs in any form, or in any manner to engage in the practice of medicine as defined by the</p>
--	--



	<p>laws of this state or to engage in any act or to perform any service which act or service requires a license as described in Chapters 8A, 21, 24, 26, or 30 of Title 34, unless such victim counselor is duly licensed by the appropriate licensing agency. Nothing in this article shall be construed to enlarge or expand the scope of practice of any of the licensed professions or occupations enumerated above by virtue of an individual being designated as or holding the position of victim counselor.</p>
<p>Domestic Violence Advocate-Victim Privilege.</p>	<p>Ala. Code § 30-6-8.</p> <p>Information identifying individuals or facilities received by the office, the circuit, any district attorney or his or her employees, the director, or by authorized persons employed by or volunteering services to a domestic violence center, through files, reports, inspection, or otherwise, is confidential and exempt from Section 36-12-40. Information about the location of domestic violence centers and facilities is confidential and exempt from Section 36-12-40. Oral communications between a domestic violence victim and an advocate and written reports and records concerning the victim may not be disclosed without the written consent of the victim. This privilege does not relieve a person from any duty imposed pursuant to Section 26-14-1 or Section 38-9-2. However, when cooperating with the Department of Human Resources, the staff and volunteers of a domestic violence center shall protect the confidentiality of other clients at the center. A victim or advocate may not claim this privilege when providing evidence in proceedings concerning child abuse, but may claim this privilege in all other proceedings, both criminal and civil. This privilege expires upon the death of the victim. The director shall ensure that the information obtained under authority of this chapter shall be restricted to the items germane to the implementation thereof and shall ensure that the provisions are administered so as not to accumulate any information or distribute any information that is not required by this chapter.</p>
<p>Licensed Psychologist-, Licensed Psychiatrist- and Licensed Psychological Technician-Client Privilege.</p>	<p>Ala. Code § 34-26-2.</p> <p>For the purpose of this chapter, the confidential relations and communications between licensed psychologists, licensed psychiatrists, or licensed psychological technicians and their clients are placed upon the same basis as those provided by law between attorney and client, and nothing in this chapter shall be</p>

	<p>construed to require any such privileged communication to be disclosed.</p>
<p>Psychotherapist-Patient Privilege.</p>	<p>Ala. R. Evid. Rule 503(b)–(d).</p> <p>(b) General Rule of Privilege. A patient has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person from disclosing confidential communications, made for the purposes of diagnosis or treatment of the patient’s mental or emotional condition, including alcohol or drug addiction, among the patient, the patient’s psychotherapist, and persons who are participating in the diagnosis or treatment under the direction of the psychotherapist, including members of the patient’s family.</p> <p>(c) Who May Claim the Privilege. The privilege may be claimed by the patient, the patient’s guardian or conservator, or the personal representative of a deceased patient. The person who was the psychotherapist at the time of the communication is presumed to have authority to claim the privilege but only on behalf of the patient.</p> <p>(d) Exceptions.</p> <p>(1) <i>Proceedings for Hospitalization</i>. There is no privilege under this rule for communications relevant to an issue in proceedings to hospitalize the patient for mental illness, if the psychotherapist has determined, in the course of diagnosis or treatment, that the patient is in need of hospitalization.</p> <p>(2) <i>Examination by Order of Court</i>. If the court orders an examination of the mental or emotional condition of a patient, whether a party or a witness, communications made in the course thereof are not privileged under this rule with respect to the particular purpose for which the examination is ordered unless the court orders otherwise.</p> <p>(3) <i>Accused in Criminal Case</i>. There is no privilege under this rule as to an accused in a criminal case who raises the defense of insanity.</p> <p>(4) <i>Breach of Duty Arising Out of Psychotherapist-Patient Relationship</i>. There is no privilege under this rule as to an issue of breach of duty by the psychotherapist to the patient or by the patient to the psychotherapist.</p> <p>(5) <i>Child Custody Cases</i>. There is no privilege under this rule for relevant communications offered in a child custody case in which the mental state of a party is clearly an issue and a proper resolution of the custody question requires disclosure.</p>



Counselor-Client Privilege.	<p>Ala. R. Evid. Rule 503A(b)–(d).</p> <p>(b) General Rule of Privilege. A client has a privilege to refuse to disclose, and to prevent any other person from disclosing, a confidential communication made for the purpose of facilitating the rendition of counseling services to the client.</p> <p>(c) Who May Claim the Privilege. The privilege may be claimed by the client, the client’s guardian or conservator, or the personal representative of a deceased client. The person who was the licensed counselor, counselor associate, or victim counselor at the time of the communication is presumed to have authority to claim the privilege, but only on behalf of the client.</p> <p>(d) Exceptions.</p> <p>(1) <i>Proceedings for Hospitalization.</i> In proceedings to hospitalize the client for mental illness, there is no privilege under this rule for communications relevant to an issue in those proceedings if the counselor or counselor associate has determined, in the course of counseling, that the client is in need of hospitalization.</p> <p>(2) <i>Examination by Order of Court.</i> If the court orders an examination of the mental or emotional condition of a client, whether a party or a witness, communications made in the course thereof are not privileged under this rule with respect to the particular purpose for which the examination is ordered, unless the court orders otherwise.</p> <p>(3) <i>When the Client’s Condition Is an Element of a Claim or a Defense.</i> There is no privilege under this rule as to a communication relevant to an issue regarding the mental or emotional condition of the client, in any proceeding in which the client relies upon the condition as an element of the client’s claim or defense, or, after the client’s death, in any proceeding in which any party relies upon the condition as an element of the party’s claim or defense.</p> <p>(4) <i>Breach of Duty Arising Out of the Counselor-Client Relationship.</i> There is no privilege under this rule as to an issue of breach of duty by the counselor, counselor associate, or victim counselor to the client or by the client to the counselor, counselor associate, or victim counselor.</p> <p>(5) <i>Victim Counseling in Civil Cases.</i> There is no privilege under this rule in civil cases as to a communication made to facilitate victim counseling when the person conducting the counseling is neither a licensed professional counselor nor a counselor associate,</p>

	<p>except that under no circumstances may a victim counselor or a victim be compelled to provide testimony in any proceeding that would identify the name, address, location, or telephone number of a “safe house,” abuse shelter, or other facility that provided temporary emergency shelter to the victim of the offense or transaction that is the subject of the proceeding, unless the facility is a party to the proceeding.</p>
--	--

**DEFINITIONS**

<p>Key definitions appear below.</p>	
<p>Crime Victims’ Rights Definitions.</p>	<p>Ala. Code § 15-23-60.</p> <p>As used in [Ala. Code §§ 15-23-60 through 15-23-84], the following words shall have the following meanings:</p> <p>...</p> <p>(6) <b>CRIME VICTIM ADVOCATE.</b> A person who is employed or authorized by a public entity or a private entity that receives public funding primarily to provide counseling, treatment, or other supportive assistance to crime victims.</p> <p>...</p> <p>(12) <b>IMMEDIATE FAMILY.</b> The spouse, parent, child, sibling, or grandparent of the victim, unless that person is in custody for an offense or is the accused.</p> <p>(13) <b>LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE.</b> A person who is designated by the victim, who is a member of the immediate family, or appointed by the court and who will act in the best interests of the victim.</p> <p>...</p> <p>(18) <b>RIGHT.</b> Any right granted to the victim by the laws of this state.</p>

	<p>(19) VICTIM. A person against whom the criminal offense has been committed, or if the person is killed or incapacitated, the spouse, sibling, parent, child, or guardian of the person, except if the person is in custody for an offense or is the accused.</p>
<p>Protection From Abuse Act Definitions.</p>	<p>Ala. Code § 30-5-2.</p> <p>In this chapter [Ala. Code §§ 30-5-1 through 30-5-11], the following words shall have the following meanings unless the context clearly indicates otherwise:</p> <p>...</p> <p>(7) VICTIM. An individual who is related in any of the following ways to the person who commits an act of abuse:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Has a current or former marriage, including common law marriage, with the defendant.</li> <li>b. Has a child in common with the defendant regardless of whether the victim and defendant have ever been married and regardless of whether they are currently residing or have in the past resided together in the same household.</li> <li>c. Has or had a dating relationship with the defendant. A dating relationship does not include a casual or business relationship or a relationship that ended more than 12 months prior to the filing of the petition for a protection order.</li> <li>d. Is a current or former household member. For purposes of this chapter, a “household member” excludes non-romantic or non-intimate co-residents.</li> <li>e. A relative of a current or former household member as defined in paragraph d. who also lived with the defendant.</li> <li>f. An individual who is a parent, stepparent, child, or stepchild.</li> <li>g. An individual who is a grandparent, step-grandparent, grandchild, or step-grandchild.</li> </ul>
<p>Domestic Violence Facilities Definitions.</p>	<p>Ala. Code § 30-6-1.</p> <p>In this chapter [Ala. Code §§ 30-6-1 through 30-6-13], the following words shall have the following meanings unless the context clearly indicates otherwise:</p> <p>(1) ABUSE. As defined in Section 30-5-2.</p>

	<p>(2) ACADV. The Alabama Coalition Against Domestic Violence, Incorporated.</p> <p>(3) ADVOCATE. An employee or volunteer of a program for victims of domestic violence receiving funds under this chapter who has a primary function of rendering advice, counseling, or assistance to victims of domestic violence; who supervises the employees or volunteers of the program; or who administers the program.</p> <p>(4) AGENCY. The Alabama State Law Enforcement Agency.</p> <p>(5) CLIENT. Any individual receiving services from a certified domestic violence center.</p> <p>(6) DIRECTOR. The Director of the Department of Economic and Community Affairs.</p> <p>(7) DOMESTIC VIOLENCE. Abuse as defined in subdivision (1).</p> <p>(8) DOMESTIC VIOLENCE CENTER. An entity that provides services or shelter to domestic violence victims and their accompanying children and is a member of the ACADV or other qualified entity.</p> <p>(9) OTHER QUALIFIED ENTITY. An entity designated by the director to fulfill the duties of the ACADV in the event the ACADV ceases operations or is otherwise ineligible to receive federal funds for domestic violence programs in this state.</p> <p>(10) VICTIM. As defined in Section 30-5-2.</p> <p> These definitions explicitly apply to the statutory provision governing domestic violence facilities, Ala. Code §§ 30-6-1 through 30-6-13. Some of these statutory provisions are included below.</p> <p> The definition of “victim” under Ala. Code § 30-5-2(7) is included above.</p>
Victim Counselor-Victim	Ala. Code § 15-23-41.

Confidentiality and Privilege Definitions.	<p>As used in this article, unless a contrary meaning is clearly intended from the context in which the term appears, the following terms have the respective meanings hereinafter set forth and indicated:</p> <p>(1) <b>CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION.</b> Any information exchanged between a victim and a victim counselor in private or in the presence of a third party who is necessary to facilitate communication or further the counseling process and which is disclosed in the course of the counselor’s treatment of the victim for any emotional or psychological condition resulting from a sexual assault or family violence.</p> <p>(2) <b>VICTIM.</b> A person who consults a victim counselor for assistance in overcoming adverse emotional or psychological effects of a sexual assault or family violence.</p> <p>(3) <b>SEXUAL ASSAULT.</b> Any sexual offense enumerated in Sections 13A-6-60 through 13A-6-70.</p> <p>(4) <b>FAMILY VIOLENCE.</b> The occurrence of one or more of the following acts between family or household members:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Attempting to cause or causing physical harm.</li> <li>b. Placing another in fear of imminent serious physical harm.</li> </ol> <p>(5) <b>FAMILY or HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS.</b> Children, spouses, former spouses, persons of the opposite sex living as spouses now or in the past, or persons 60 years of age or older living in the same household and related by blood or marriage.</p> <p>(6) <b>VICTIM COUNSELING.</b> Assessment, diagnosis, and treatment to alleviate the adverse emotional or psychological impact of a sexual assault or family violence on the victim. Victim counseling includes, but is not limited to, crisis intervention.</p> <p>(7) <b>VICTIM COUNSELING CENTER.</b> A private organization or unit of a government agency which has as one of its primary purposes the treatment of victims for any emotional or psychological condition resulting from a sexual assault or family violence.</p> <p>(8) <b>VICTIM COUNSELOR.</b> Any employee or supervised volunteer of a victim counseling center or other agency, business, or organization that provides counseling to victims who is not affiliated with a law enforcement agency or a prosecutor’s office and whose duties include treating victims for any emotional or</p>
--	---



	psychological condition resulting from a sexual assault or family violence.
Domestic Violence Advocate-Victim Privilege Definitions.	<p>Ala. Code § 30-6-1.</p> <p>In this chapter, the following words shall have the following meanings unless the context clearly indicates otherwise:</p> <p>(1) ABUSE. As defined in Section 30-5-2.</p> <p>(2) ACADV. The Alabama Coalition Against Domestic Violence, Incorporated.</p> <p>(3) ADVOCATE. An employee or volunteer of a program for victims of domestic violence receiving funds under this chapter who has a primary function of rendering advice, counseling, or assistance to victims of domestic violence; who supervises the employees or volunteers of the program; or who administers the program.</p> <p>(4) AGENCY. The Alabama State Law Enforcement Agency.</p> <p>(5) CLIENT. Any individual receiving services from a certified domestic violence center.</p> <p>(6) DIRECTOR. The Director of the Department of Economic and Community Affairs.</p> <p>(7) DOMESTIC VIOLENCE. Abuse as defined in subdivision (1).</p> <p>(8) DOMESTIC VIOLENCE CENTER. An entity that provides services or shelter to domestic violence victims and their accompanying children and is a member of the ACADV or other qualified entity.</p> <p>(9) OTHER QUALIFIED ENTITY. An entity designated by the director to fulfill the duties of the ACADV in the event the ACADV ceases operations or is otherwise ineligible to receive federal funds for domestic violence programs in this state.</p> <p>(10) VICTIM. As defined in Section 30-5-2.</p> <p>Ala. Code § 30-5-2(7).</p>

	<p>VICTIM. An individual who is related in any of the following ways to the person who commits an act of abuse:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Has a current or former marriage, including common law marriage, with the defendant.</li> <li>b. Has a child in common with the defendant regardless of whether the victim and defendant have ever been married and regardless of whether they are currently residing or have in the past resided together in the same household.</li> <li>c. Has or had a dating relationship with the defendant. A dating relationship does not include a casual or business relationship or a relationship that ended more than 12 months prior to the filing of the petition for a protection order.</li> <li>d. Is a current or former household member. For purposes of this chapter, a “household member” excludes non-romantic or non-intimate co-residents.</li> <li>e. A relative of a current or former household member as defined in paragraph d. who also lived with the defendant.</li> <li>f. An individual who is a parent, stepparent, child, or stepchild.</li> <li>g. An individual who is a grandparent, step-grandparent, grandchild, or step-grandchild.</li> </ul>
<p>Psychotherapist-Patient Privilege Definitions.</p>	<p>Ala. R. Evid. Rule 503.</p> <p>As used in this rule:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) A “patient” is a person who consults or is examined or interviewed by a psychotherapist.</li> <li>(2) A “psychotherapist” is (A) a person licensed to practice medicine in any state or nation, or reasonably believed by the patient so to be, while regularly engaged in the diagnosis or treatment of mental or emotional conditions, including alcohol or drug addiction or (B) a person licensed as a psychologist under the laws of any state or nation, while similarly engaged.</li> <li>(3) A communication is “confidential” if not intended to be disclosed to third persons other than those present to further the</li> </ul>

	<p>interest of the patient in the consultation, examination, or interview, or persons reasonably necessary for the transmission of the communication, or persons who are participating in the diagnosis and treatment under the direction of the psychotherapist, including members of the patient’s family.</p>
<p>Counselor-Client Privilege Definitions.</p>	<p>Ala. R. Evid. Rule 503A(a).</p> <p>As used in this rule:</p> <p>(1) The term “client” means a person who, for the purpose of securing professional counseling services, consults with a licensed professional counselor or a certified counselor associate. It also means a person who, for the purpose of securing counseling services as the result of either sexual assault or family violence, consults with a victim counselor.</p> <p>(2) A “licensed professional counselor” is any person who holds himself or herself out to the public by any title or description of services incorporating the words “licensed professional counselor” or “licensed counselor”; who offers to render professional counseling services to individuals, groups, organizations, corporations, institutions, government agencies, or the general public, implying that the person is licensed and trained, experienced or expert in counseling; and who holds a current, valid license to engage in the private practice of counseling.</p> <p>(3) A communication is “confidential” if it is not intended to be disclosed to third persons other than those to whom disclosure is made in furtherance of the rendition of professional counseling services to the client or those to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for the transmission of the communication.</p> <p>(4) “Counselor associate” is any person who has been certified by the Alabama Board of Examiners in Counseling to offer counseling services under the supervision of a licensed professional counselor.</p> <p>(5) “Counseling services” consist of all acts and behaviors that constitute the “practice of counseling” as that term is defined in this rule.</p> <p>(6) The “practice of counseling” involves the rendering or offering to render counseling services such as, among others, the following methods and procedures employed by the counseling profession:</p>

	<p>(A) Counseling. Assisting a person, through the counseling relationship, to develop understanding of personal problems, to define goals, and to plan action reflecting the person’s interests, abilities, aptitudes, and needs as these are related to personal-social concerns, education progress, and occupations and careers.</p> <p>(B) Appraisal Activities. Selecting, administering, scoring and interpreting instruments designed to assess an individual’s aptitudes, attitudes, abilities, achievements, interests, and personal characteristics, but not including the use of projective techniques in the assessment of personality.</p> <p>(C) Counseling, Guidance, and Personnel Consulting. Interpreting or reporting upon scientific fact or theory in counseling, guidance, and personnel services to provide assistance in solving some current or potential problems of individuals, groups, or organizations.</p> <p>(D) Referral Activities. The evaluating of data to identify problems and to determine advisability of referral to other specialists.</p> <p>(E) Research Activities. The designing, conducting, and interpreting of research with human subjects.</p> <p>(F) Victim Counseling. The providing of counseling to victims for any emotional or psychological impact resulting from a sexual assault or family violence.</p> <p>(7) “Victim counselor” means any employee or supervised volunteer of a victim counseling center or other agency, business, or organization that provides counseling to victims, who is not affiliated with a law enforcement agency or prosecutor’s office and whose duties include treating victims for any emotional or psychological condition resulting from a sexual assault or family violence.</p> <p>(8) “Sexual assault” includes any sexual offense set out in Ala. Code 1975, §§ 13A-6-60 through 13A-6-70.</p> <p>(9) “Family violence” means the occurrence of one or more of the following acts between family or household members:</p> <p>(A) Attempting to cause or causing physical harm.</p> <p>(B) Placing another in fear of imminent serious physical harm.</p> <p>(10) The designation “family or household members” encompasses children, spouses, former spouses, persons of the opposite sex living as spouses now or in the past, or persons 60 years of age or older living in the same household and related by blood or marriage.</p> <p>(11) “Victim counseling center” means a private organization or unit of a government agency which has as one of its primary</p>
--	--

	purposes the treatment of victims for any emotional or psychological condition resulting from a sexual assault or family violence.
--	--

<sup>1</sup> See Office for Victims of Crime, *Ethical Standards, Section I: Scope of Services*, [https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical\\_standards\\_1.html](https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical_standards_1.html).

<sup>2</sup> Additional examples of system-based advocate titles include: district attorney's office/state attorney's office advocates or victim-witness coordinators; law enforcement advocates; FBI victim specialists; U.S. attorney's office victim-witness coordinators; board of parole and post-prison supervision advocates; and post-conviction advocates.

<sup>3</sup> Examples of community-based advocates include: crisis hotline or helpline staff; rape crisis center staff; domestic violence shelter staff; campus advocates; and homicide support program staff.

<sup>4</sup> See Nat'l Crime Victim Law Inst., *Refusing Discovery Requests of Privileged Materials Pretrial in Criminal Cases*, NCVLI Violence Against Women Bulletin (Nat'l Crime Victim Law Inst., Portland, Or.), June 2011, at 3 n.30 (listing victims' constitutional and statutory rights to privacy and to dignity, respect or fairness), <https://ncvli.org/refusing-discovery-requests-of-privileged-materials-pretrial-in-criminal-cases-2011/>.

<sup>5</sup> See, e.g., *Whalen v. Roe*, 429 U.S. 589, 599 (1977) (recognizing, in a case involving the recording of patient information relating to certain prescribed medications, a federal constitutional right to privacy that includes "the individual interest in avoiding disclosure of personal matters"); *Eastwood v. Dep't of Corrections*, 846 F.2d 627, 630-31 (10th Cir. 1988) (reviewing case law addressing constitutional protections for privacy and forced disclosure of personal matters); cf. *Griswold v. Connecticut*, 381 U.S. 479, 484-86 (1965) (discussing "zones of privacy" created by various guarantees in the Bill of Rights).

<sup>6</sup> There are different levels of privileges: absolute, absolute diluted and qualified. When an absolute privilege attaches, only a victim has the right to authorize disclosure of that information and the court can never order the information to be disclosed without the victim's consent. Absolute privileges are rare, however, because privileges are seen to run contrary to the truth finding function of courts.

<sup>7</sup> See, e.g., Ala. R. Evid. 503A(a)(7) ("Victim counselor" means any employee or supervised volunteer of a victim counseling center or other agency, business, or organization that provides counseling to victims, who is not affiliated with a law enforcement agency or prosecutor's office and whose duties include treating victims for any emotional or psychological condition resulting from a sexual assault or family violence."); Alaska Stat. Ann. § 18.66.250(5)(B) ("[V]ictim counseling center" means a private organization, an organization operated by or contracted by a branch of the armed forces of the United States, or a local government agency that . . . is not affiliated with a law enforcement agency or a prosecutor's office[.]); Haw. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 626-1, Rule 505.5(a)(6) ("A 'victim counseling program' is any activity of a domestic violence victims' program or a sexual assault crisis center that has, as its primary function, the counseling and treatment of sexual assault, domestic violence, or child abuse victims and their families, and that operates independently of any law enforcement agency, prosecutor's office, or the department of human services."); Ind. Code Ann. § 35-37-6-5(2) ("[V]ictim service provider" means a person . . . that is not affiliated with a law enforcement agency[.]); Neb. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 29-4302(1) ("Advocate means any employee or supervised volunteer of a domestic violence and sexual assault victim assistance program or of any other agency, business, or organization that is not affiliated with a law enforcement or prosecutor's office whose primary purpose is assisting domestic violence and sexual assault victims[.]"); N.M. Stat. Ann. § 31-25-2(E) ("[V]ictim counselor" means any employee or supervised volunteer of a victim counseling center or other agency, business or organization that provides counseling to victims who is not affiliated with a law enforcement agency or the office of a district attorney . . .").

<sup>8</sup> Terms that inform the intersection of victim services and HIPAA, FERPA, FOIA or VOCA are "implied consent" and "waiver." "Informed consent" is defined as "1. [a] person's agreement to allow something to happen, made with full knowledge of the risks involved and the alternatives. For the legal profession, informed consent is defined in Model Rule of Professional Conduct 1.0(e)[;] [or] 2. [a] patient's knowing choice about a medical treatment or procedure, made after a physician or other healthcare provider discloses whatever information a reasonably prudent provider in the medical field community would give to a patient regarding the risks involved in the proposed treatment or procedure." *Informed consent*, Black's Law Dictionary (8th ed. 2004). "Waiver" is defined as "[t]he

---

voluntary relinquishment or abandonment—express or implied—of a legal right or advantage . . . .” *Waiver*, Black’s Law Dictionary (8th ed. 2004).

<sup>9</sup> Privacy Tech. Assistance Ctr., U.S. Dep’t of Educ., *School Resource Officers, School Law Enforcement Units, and the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA)*, at 6,

[https://studentprivacy.ed.gov/sites/default/files/resource\\_document/file/SRO\\_FAQs\\_2-5-19\\_0.pdf](https://studentprivacy.ed.gov/sites/default/files/resource_document/file/SRO_FAQs_2-5-19_0.pdf).

<sup>10</sup> *Id.*

<sup>11</sup> *Id.*

<sup>12</sup> *Id.* at 7-21.

<sup>13</sup> Protecting Student Privacy, U.S. Dep’t of Educ., *Are law enforcement records considered education records?*, <https://studentprivacy.ed.gov/faq/are-law-enforcement-records-considered-education-records>.

<sup>14</sup> Privacy Tech. Assistance Ctr., *supra* note 9, at 6.

<sup>15</sup> Office for Victims of Crime, *Crime Victims Fund*,

<https://www.ovc.gov/pubs/crimevictimsfundfs/intro.html#VictimAssist>.

<sup>16</sup> *Id.*

<sup>17</sup> *Id.*

<sup>18</sup> Department of Justice Guide to the Freedom of Information Act, at 1,

<https://www.justice.gov/sites/default/files/oip/legacy/2014/07/23/exemption6.pdf>.

<sup>19</sup> *Id.*

<sup>20</sup> *Id.*

<sup>21</sup> *Id.*

<sup>22</sup> *Ethic*, Merriam-webster.com, <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/ethics> (last visited Sept. 19, 2023).

<sup>23</sup> Office for Victims of Crime, *Purpose & Scope of The Standards*, [https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/purpose\\_and\\_scope.html](https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/purpose_and_scope.html).

<sup>24</sup> Office for Victims of Crime, *Ethical Standards for Serving Victims & Survivors of Crime*, [https://ovc.ojp.gov/sites/g/files/xyckuh226/files/model-standards/6/ethical\\_standards.html](https://ovc.ojp.gov/sites/g/files/xyckuh226/files/model-standards/6/ethical_standards.html). Each of the five sections contain ethical standards and corresponding commentaries, explaining each standard in detail. For “Scope of Services,” the ethical standards and their corresponding commentaries can be located at [https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical\\_standards\\_1.html](https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical_standards_1.html). For “Coordinating within the Community,” the ethical standards and their corresponding commentaries can be located at [https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical\\_standards\\_2.html](https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical_standards_2.html). For “Direct Services,” the ethical standards and their corresponding commentaries can be located at [https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical\\_standards\\_3.html](https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical_standards_3.html). For “Privacy, Confidentiality, Data Security and Assistive Technology,” the ethical standards and their corresponding commentaries can be located at [https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical\\_standards\\_4.html](https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical_standards_4.html). For “Administration and Evaluation,” the ethical standard and the corresponding commentary can be located at [https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical\\_standards\\_5.html](https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical_standards_5.html).

<sup>25</sup> Office for Victims of Crime, *Ethical Standards for Serving Victims & Survivors of Crime*, [https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical\\_standards.html](https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical_standards.html).

<sup>26</sup> For a sample law enforcement-based victim services code of ethics drafted by the International Association of Chiefs of Police, see *Law Enforcement-Based Victim Services – Template Package I: Getting Started*, at 28-30, <https://www.theiacp.org/sites/default/files/LEV/Publications/TemplatePackageI.pdf>.

<sup>27</sup> See *Weatherford v. Bursey*, 429 U.S. 545, 559 (1977) (“There is no general constitutional right to discovery in a criminal case, and Brady did not create one . . .”).

<sup>28</sup> See, e.g., *United States v. Agurs*, 427 U.S. 97, 106–07 (1976).

<sup>29</sup> *Strickler v. Greene*, 527 U.S. 263, 280 (1999) (internal citations omitted).

<sup>30</sup> *Id.* at 281.

<sup>31</sup> See, e.g., *Eakes v. Sexton*, 592 F. App’x 422, 429 (6th Cir. 2014) (unpublished) (finding that “contrary to the district court’s conclusion that the [state] prosecutor was not responsible for failing to disclose the Victim-Advocate report because the Advocate was located ‘in a separate part of the District Attorney’s office,’ the prosecutor is in fact responsible for disclosing all *Brady* information in the possession of that office, such as the Victim-Advocate report, even if the prosecutor was unaware of the evidence prior to trial”); *Commonwealth v. Liang*, 747 N.E.2d 112, 114 (Mass. 2001) (concluding that “the notes of [prosecution-based] advocates are subject to the same discovery rules as the notes of prosecutors[,]” and “[t]o the extent that the notes contain material, exculpatory information . . . or relevant ‘statements’ of a victim or witness . . . the Commonwealth must disclose such information or statements to the defendant, in accordance with due process and the rules of criminal procedure”).



<sup>32</sup> For additional information, see Nat'l Crime Victim Law Inst., *What are Brady Disclosure Obligations?*, 2023, <https://ncvli.org/what-are-brady-disclosure-obligations/>.

<sup>33</sup> Notably, for advocates/entities that receive VOCA funding, because this disclosure is “compelled by statutory or court mandate,” it does not pursuant to statute, require a signed, written release from the victim. Nevertheless, if disclosure is required, VOCA requires that advocates make reasonable attempts to notify the victim affected by the disclosure and take whatever steps are necessary to protect their privacy and safety.

<sup>34</sup> See also Nat'l Crime Victim Law Inst., *Law Enforcement-Associated Victim Service Providers and The Brady Rule: Legal Background and Considerations*, 2023, <https://ncvli.org/law-enforcement-associated-victim-service-providers-and-the-brady-rule/>.

<sup>35</sup> Defendant John Giglio was tried, convicted and sentenced for forgery related crimes. While Giglio’s case was pending appeal, his attorney filed a motion for a new trial, claiming that there was newly discovered evidence that the key Government witness—“the only witness linking [Giglio] with the crime”—had been promised that he would not be prosecuted in exchange for his testimony. *Giglio*, 405 U.S. at 150-52. The defense attorney’s motion was initially denied, but certiorari review was granted “to determine whether the evidence [that was] not disclosed . . . require[d] a new trial under the due process criteria of” cases, including *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83, 87 (1963), which “held that suppression of material evidence justifies a new trial” whether the prosecutor intended to withhold information or not. *Id.* at 151-53. “An affidavit filed by the Government as part of its opposition to a new trial confirm[ed] [Giglio’s] claim that a promise was made to [the key Government witness]” by the former Assistant United States Attorney “that [the witness] would not be prosecuted if he cooperated with the Government.” *Id.* at 152-53. This promise of leniency was made by the formerly assigned Assistant United States Attorney who did not handle the trial; and the Assistant United States Attorney who handled the trial was unaware of the promise. *Id.* at 152. The Supreme Court held that nondisclosure of material evidence “is the responsibility of the prosecutor”—whether nondisclosure was intentional or not—and that such action is directly attributable to the Government. *Id.* at 154. Addressing the topic of “turnover,” principally, the Court explained that “[t]o the extent this places a burden on the large prosecution offices, procedures and regulations can be established to carry that burden and to [e]nsure communication of all relevant information on each case to every lawyer who deals with it.” *Id.* Giglio’s conviction was reversed, and the case was remanded to the lower court. *Id.* at 155.

<sup>36</sup> This section addresses subpoenas directed to system-based advocates. For information concerning community-based advocates and subpoenas, please contact NCVLI for technical assistance.

<sup>37</sup> Terminology for subpoenas varies from jurisdiction-to-jurisdiction. Common examples of subpoenas include: “subpoenas”; “subpoenas duces tecum”; “deposition subpoenas”; and “subpoenas ad testificandum.” See *Subpoena*, Black’s Law Dictionary (8th ed. 2004).

<sup>38</sup> See *Subpoena*, Black’s Law Dictionary (8th ed. 2004) (defining “subpoena” as “[a] writ commanding a person to appear before a court or other tribunal, subject to a penalty for failing to comply”); *subpoena duces tecum*, Black’s Law Dictionary (8th ed. 2004) (defining “subpoena duces tecum” as “[a] subpoena ordering the witness to appear and to bring specified documents, records, or things”); *deposition subpoena*, Black’s Law Dictionary (8th ed. 2004) (defining “deposition subpoena” as “1. [a] subpoena issued to summon a person to make a sworn statement in a time and place other than a trial[;] [and] 2. [i]n some jurisdictions, [this is referred to as] a subpoena duces tecum”).

<sup>39</sup> Attorney work product “is generally exempt from discovery or other compelled disclosure.” *Work product*, Black’s Law Dictionary (8th ed. 2004).

This resource was developed by the National Crime Victim Law Institute (NCVLI) under 2018-V3-GX-K049, 2020-V3-GX-K001 and 15OVC-22-GK-01805-NONF, awarded to the International Association of Chiefs of Police (IACP) by the Office for Victims of Crime, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice. The opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this resource are those of the contributors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the U.S. Department of Justice.